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**ABSTRACT**

**AIM:** Prediction of esophageal varices (EV) in cirrhotic patient by platelet count/spleen diameter ratio (PC/SD ratio) as a noninvasive diagnostic test.

**METHODS:** We prospectively enrolled 140 liver cirrhotic patients without a history of variceal bleeding during a period of two years. The spleen diameter, platelets count, PC/SD ratio, ascites, serum bilirubin, prothrombin time, albumin and Child–Pugh class were analyzed on the data for the prediction of EVS. Information including age, sex, etiology of cirrhosis, biochemical parameters such as AST, ALT, total serum bilirubin, prothrombin activity, creatinine, platelet count and the presence of ascites and cirrhatic encephalopathy were recorded according to Child-Pugh class.

**RESULTS:** Incidence of varices was seen in 85% of patients. Mean spleen diameter in EV and NEV were 137 and 146 mm respectively ($P=0.36$). Mean platelet count in EV and NEV were 127000 and 114000 n/mm$^2$ respectively ($P=0.36$). PC/SD ratio had NPV 25.8%, PPV 88.6% at cut-off level 663. The presence of EV had correlation with ascites and prothrombin time ($P=0.001, P=0.931$).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Spleen diameter, platelet count and PC/SD ratio couldn’t predict the presence of EV. Endoscopy should be performed to detect EVS in our area.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Endoscopic screen is advised to detect esophageal varices (EV) in cirrhotic patients[1]. In patients with normal liver tests, endoscopic screen is advised every two years, and in cirrhotic patients with abnormal liver tests it is advised every 12 months. Also, in patients with small varices endoscopy should be planned once a year[2,3]. Endoscopy and drug therapies are effective in the prevention of bleeding from high-risk varices and should be started after diagnosis[4]. The incidence of EV in cirrhotic patients is predicted between 60% to 80% dependant on the severity and etiology of liver diseases[5]. Therefore, an adequate method that can be used noninvasively in the diagnosis of EV not only increases the number of cirrhotic patients participating in the screening program, but also prevents unnecessary therapies and unwanted side-effects. In addition to the economic importance, such method prevents unnecessary endoscopy and useless therapies[6]. This study aims to evaluate platelet count/spleen diameter ratio as an invasive method for the detection of EV.

**MATERIALS**

Cirrhotic patients participating in endoscopic screen at the time of diagnosis or cirrhotic patients who were previously diagnosed but never had endoscopy to detect EV were included in this study. Patients with the following conditions were excluded: portal vein thrombosis, splenectomy, primary bacterial peritonitis and a previous endoscopic management for bleeding varices. The patient should avoid medical therapy with beta-blocker before enroll to the study.

Information including age, sex, etiology of cirrhosis, biochemical parameters such as AST, ALT, total serum bilirubin, prothrombin activity, creatinine, platelet count and the presence of ascites and cirrhatic encephalopathy were recorded according to Child-Pugh class.

The sizes of varices were divided into two groups of small and large. Small varices were flat and had small protuberance into the esophageal duct. Large varices occupied at least 50% of esophageal duct and were in touch with together. Sonography was performed for all the patients, and the spleen diameter of the patients was measured by two operators who were blinded to the biochemical results and findings. platelet to spleen ratio was calculated as described previously by Giannini and colleagues as the ratio between platelet...
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Table 3 Previous study published about accuracy of platelet count to spleen diameter ratio in detection of esophageal Varices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Cut-off value</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>NPV</th>
<th>PPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giannini(2003)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giannini(2005)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.W.Baig(2008)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
<td>95.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prudprakash(2010)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattos AZ(2010)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin study(2010)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

The results of this study show that thrombocytopenia and platelet count to spleen diameter ratio cannot be appropriate criteria to estimate the prevalence of EVs in cirrhotic patients. However, endoscopy should be performed as the best modality to detect EV in our area. More studies are needed to evaluate the significance of other parameters especially Child-Score, ascites and prothrombin time in the prediction of EV.
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