CASE REPORT

Aggravation of Pseudomyxoma Peritonei Recognized 31 Months Post Appendectomy
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ABSTRACT

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is a rare type of peritoneal secondary tumor. The incidence of PMP is approximately 1 per million population per year. A 63-year-old Japanese female was referred to our hospital with an acute appendicitis. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a peripheral liver ascites. Appendectomy was performed on the same day. A low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm was diagnosed pathologically. She returned to our hospital with an abdominal distention and fullness 31 months post appendectomy. Abdominal CT scan could view an ascites in pelvic cavity. An aggravation of PMP was recognized clinically. PMP is an interesting syndrome with unique clinical and pathologic challenges. Although predictions reveal that, most cases will arise from low-grade appendiceal mucinous lesions, it remains challenging to classify as an entity. Standard treatment is peritoneotomy and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Then, it needs continued monitoring post appendectomy since the recurrence of PMP is common.
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INTRODUCTION

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is a rare clinical condition, characterised by mucinous ascites, and is generally associated with a perforated epithelial neoplasm of the appendix[1,2]. Carl
Rokitansky was the first to describe an appendiceal mucocele in 1842\cite{3}. Subsequently, in 1884, Werth coined the term PMP to define an ovarian neoplasm\cite{4}. In 1937, Robert Michaelis Von Olshausen, a German gynaecologist, hypothesized that epithelial cells from the lining of a ruptured appendiceal cyst metastasize in the peritoneal cavity and continued to secrete gelatinous material, leading to PMP\cite{3}. While epithelial neoplasms of the appendix remains the most common cause of PMP, similar pathological features may originate from mucinous neoplasms of the colorectum, ovaries or any abdominal organ. PMP of nonappendiceal origin was thought to have a worse prognosis, as the underlying pathology was more likely to be a mucinous adenocarcinoma\cite{5}. Generally, PMP is considered as a benign process. However, the disease has a wide spectrum, ranging from slow growing benign lesions to rapidly progressive infiltrative disease. Therefore, PMP should always be considered as a borderline malignant process\cite{2}. Thus, we report a patient of PMP, who returned to our hospital 31 months post appendectomy.

**CASE REPORT**

A 63-year-old Japanese female was referred to our hospital on August 2016 with an acute appendicitis. She had right lower abdominal pain. She had a BMI of 23.5kg/m$^2$. Physical examination revealed a right lower abdominal tenderness on palpation. Her body temperature was at 36.9°C, white blood cell count at 6,300/μL, C-reactive protein at 0.09 mg/dL, carcinoembryonic antigen level at 3.5 ng/mL, and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 level at 39 U/mL. Abdominal ultrasound showed dilatation of an appendix, leading to the diagnosis of appendicitis in the previous hospital. Abdominal CT scan revealed an ascites around the liver, but not in the pelvic cavity (Figure 1). On the same day, a laparoscopic surgery was performed to relieve the pain after obtaining an informed consent. Since a mucinous ascites was noticed around the liver and appendix could not be removed due to adhesions, the original laparotomy was switched to an appendectomy. We then performed an appendectomy and drained and washed the abdominal cavity of the mucinous ascites. Macroscopically, we observed a dilated appendix measuring 72×28 mm filled with jelly, but no significant abnormal mucosa of the resected specimen (Figure 2). From pathology, we diagnosed a low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (Figure 3), and clinically, we diagnosed an appendiceal pseudomyxoma peritonei. We would plan to perform a chemotherapy, but we could not contact her. It was thought that she visited an another hospital to request a second opinion. However, she returned to our hospital after 31 months post appendectomy. Furthermore, an abdominal CT scan revealed an ascites in the abdominopelvic cavity (Figure 4). Thus, we then recognized an aggravation of pseudomyxoma peritonei clinically and she was transferred to another hospital for better management.

**DISCUSSION**

Due to paucity of data, the incidence of PMP is unknown. Previous data from autopsy studies estimated the incidence of an appendiceal mucocele to be about 0.2%\cite{6}. The aforementioned incidence (1 per million population per year)\cite{7} was not based on good evidence\cite{2}. Estimates from recent data revealed that the incidence of mucinous epithelial neoplasm of the appendix is around 0.3% and progression to PMP is about 20% of these patients\cite{8}.

In 2000, Esquivel and Sugarbaker described common features of PMP. Their study with 217 patients found the most common presentation to be an acute appendicitis (27%). The next most common presentation was an abdominal distension (23%), while 14% were discovered under investigation for a new onset hernia, mostly inguinal. Other findings included ascites, abdominal pain, and vague abdominal symptoms accounting for 17% of cases\cite{9}.

PMP is a clinico-pathological entity resulting from mucin-producing peritoneal and omental tumor metastases from a perforated
mucinous neoplasm. Rupture of the primary tumor results in free-floating mucin and epithelial cells, which metastasize in various parts of the peritoneal cavity. These then produce mucin and are responsible for the development of the typical jelly belly[10]. Classical PMP originates from an appendiceal tumor, with similar clinical, radiological and even pathological features with adenocarcinoma of sources such as the appendix, colon or rectum[13]. In women, PMP was usually considered to originate from the ovary but not more the case as recent findings reveal an underlying etiology in the appendix, with secondary involvement of the ovaries[17].

Histopathology and classification of PMP has always been confusing and challenging. There are many classification systems, often with confusing and overlapping terminologies. Ronnett et al. in 1995 divided PMP into three categories: disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis (DPAM), peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis (PMCA), and an intermediate category containing tumors with inconsistent or discordant features (PMCA-I/D)[10]. Bradley et al. in 2006 classified PMP into two distinct categories: mucinous

Figure 3 Postoperative histological pathologic diagnoses were low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm. Small quantities of cavities containing mucus were observed in the fibrous tissues (a) (10×) and (b) (200×). Microscopy of the appendiceal mucosa revealed low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm (c) (50×) and (d) (200×) hematoxylin and eosin (H.E) stained sections.

Figure 4 Abdominal CT scan showed ascites (yellow arrows) around the liver (a) and revealed ascites (yellow arrows) in the pelvic cavity (b) 31 months post appendectomy.
carcinoma peritonei low grade (MCP-L) and mucinous carcinoma peritonei high grade (MCP-H). In 2010, WHO classified PMP into low-grade and high-grade lesions\(^{[27]}\).

Chest, abdomen, and pelvis CT with intravenous contrast is the imaging modality of choice for PMP. CT scan can often reveal the primary tumor in the appendix, which may be calcified or ruptured, in addition to omental thickening and mucinous ascites\(^{[12,13]}\). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdomen and pelvis may be helpful in assessing the small bowel as well as the hepatoduodenal ligament\(^{[14-18]}\). Serum tumor markers are helpful in predicting adverse nature of the disease. In secreting tumor types, elevated tumor markers help in follow-up and early identification of recurrence\(^{[29]}\). The three markers commonly explored in PMP are the carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA 125), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9\(^{[29]}\).

Appropriate treatment for PMP is a combined strategy of complete macroscopic tumor removal (complete cytoreductive surgery; CCRS) with HIPEC (hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy)\(^{[22-27]}\). CCRS with HIPEC is a major undertaking with an average operating time of nine hours (range: 2-24 hours) with significant associated morbidity but little postoperative mortality\(^{[24]}\). Moreover, CCRS-HIPEC presumably to be a better treatment option of patients with suspected PMP though little is known about prognosis and outcome. By introducing this method, the survival of PMP patients has improved dramatically\(^{[22,26]}\). Disease-free survival at 1.5, and 10 years are reported at 75%, 56-70%, and 67%, with an overall 5-year survival rate of 69-75% and 10-year survival rates of 57%\(^{[21,24,27-29]}\). That was not performed in our case. Conversely, we performed an appendectomy with suction of ascites and abdominal washing. Additionally, we would plan to perform a chemotherapy, but we could not contact her. It was thought that she visited another hospital to request a second opinion. However, she returned to our hospital after 31 months post appendectomy. Furthermore, an abdominal CT scan revealed an ascites in the abdominopelvic cavity. Thus, we then recognized an aggravation of pseudomyxoma peritonei clinically and she was transferred to another hospital for better management.

A definitive follow-up strategy is important for early detection and management of recurrences. By this, a follow-up CT scan and serum tumor markers are done one year after surgery and then annually for 10 years. If recurrence is detected, further management has to be patient-specific, with no definitive guidelines available. The nature of the primary tumor and primary surgery, location of the recurrence, disease burden, fitness for surgery as well as symptoms and patient wishes, all play a part in choosing further management\(^{[29]}\).

---

**CONCLUSION**

PMP is a rare, borderline malignant, clinicopathological entity originating from a perforated mucinous neoplasm of the appendix, and is an interesting syndrome with unique clinical and pathologic challenges. PMP poses unique management issues in that it does not metastasize systemically but causes recurrent obstructions requiring proper monitoring and aggressive management, because the recurrence of PMP is common.

---
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