Benign biliary stricture result from different group of diseases with variable natural history. Table 1 lists the common causes of benign biliary stricture. Post-operative and inflammatory stricture are the most common. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has become a golden standard for treating benign biliary strictures. ERCP for the treatment of BBS is preferred over surgery and the percutaneous approach due to its low invasiveness, because it is safer and repeatable. Percutaneous approach can be useful in case of failure of ERCP for “rendezvous” techniques, in patients with surgically modified anatomy and non-accessible papilla. Multistenting procedure has been proven efficacy and safe treatment for benign biliary strictures. Despite significant advances in endoscopic techniques, differentiating malignant from benign strictures can still be challenging and chronic pancreatitis as well as non-anastomotic stricture post liver transplantation as cause of benign biliary strictures remain resistant to endoscopic treatments. The short term outcome following endoscopic treatment of benign biliary stricture is excellent but long-term outcome is poor mainly for high rate of recurrence. The use of covered metallic stents for the treatment of benign biliary strictures is still under investigation, clinical trials are underway to establish the best approach to the treatment of the benign biliary stricture. This article focuses on the endoscopic management of benign biliary strictures and elaborates on optimal treatments strategies for the more common causes.

© 2015 ACT. All rights reserved.

Key words: Biliary Tract Diseases [C06.130] Common Bile Duct Diseases [C06.130.120.250] Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde ERCP; Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis; Pancreatitis, Chronic [C06.689.750.830] Liver Transplantation [E04.210.650]


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Causes of Benign Biliary Stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-operative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary Anastomosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary enteric anastomosis (hepatico-jejunosotomy, choledocho-jejunosotomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): trans catheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE); radiofrequency ablation (RFA), percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammatory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Pancreatitis and pseudo cyst</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PSC
Autoimmune cholangiopathy (IgG 4 cholangiopathy)
Vasculitis (LES, Behcet)
Post-radiation therapy
3. Infections (e.g. recurrent bacterial cholangitis; Ascaris lumbricoides, clonorchis sinensis, opisthorchis viverrini, tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, schistosomiasis, CMV, HIV, parasites)
4. Ischemic
A. Hepatic artery thrombosis or stenosis
B. Prolonged transplantation organ ischemic: cold and warm ischemic times
C. Portal biliopathy
5. Post-traumatic
Blunt abdominal trauma
Motorized vehicle accident
6. Others
A. Mirizzi syndrome
B. Post-biliary sphincterotomy
C. Post-treatment of biliary lymphoma
D. Papillary mucinous biliary tumor

INTRODUCTION
The benign biliary strictures are a topic in continuous evolution. The differentiation between benign and malignant strictures is not always so simple and requires integration of more diagnostic techniques. Endoscopic treatment is the gold standard although the recurrence rate in especially situations such as stenosis from chronic pancreatitis and non-anastomotic post liver transplant is still high. The technique of multistenting remains the standard treatment. Treatment with metallic endoprosthesis in refractory strictures is promising though still burdened by a high rate of migration. The new metallic stent may reduce the rate of migration by allowing the use of these stents in the near future as a first choice. In this review we assessed the best diagnostic and therapeutic approach to benign biliary strictures.

CLASSIFICATION
Classification systems for benign strictures have been adapted from postoperative stricture findings. The Bismuth classification is the most commonly used system and is based on stricture location and relationship to the confluence of bile ducts (Table 2, figure 1).

Clinical features
Benign biliary strictures are associated with a broad spectrum of presentations, from subclinical disease with mild elevation in liver function tests to complete hepatic obstruction with jaundice. Recurrent cholangitis is a life-threatening complication of biliary strictures. Biliary stones may develop above the stricture and chronic low-grade biliary obstruction may have devastating long-term consequences by leading to secondary biliary cirrhosis and development of end-stage liver disease.

Diagnosis and evaluation of biliary stricture
Evaluation of biliary strictures can usually be undertaken in a stepwise fashion, incorporating the medical history, clinical presentation, laboratory studies and non-invasive cross sectional imaging (US, CT, MRI, EUS) and cholangiography (MRCP vs ERCP).

Before deciding a therapeutic plan, it is relevant to investigate the stricture. The signs and symptoms of biliary obstruction (abnormal liver function tests, jaundice, abdominal pain, and cholangitis) and evidence of biliary dilatation on imaging are consistent with suspect of biliary strictures. It is paramount to exclude malignancy with a detailed medical history to identify risk factors for differing causes.

Clinical presentation may be helpful in discriminating postoperative and malignant strictures.

Laboratory investigations that may contribute to the diagnosis include tumor marker elevations in CA 19.9, CEA and CA-125 and IgG-4 levels. Overall, the sensitivity and specificity of tumour marker measurements are low but may be useful in conjunction with other diagnostic modalities where diagnostic doubt exists. IgG4 levels are elevated in 75% of cases of Type I or LPSP variant of autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) and value over twice the upper limit are highly specific for IgG4 related sclerosing cholangitis.

Some ductal features on MRCP and ERCP may suggest malignant or benign etiology of biliary strictures. The cholangiographic features of a malignancy strictures is a long (> 10 mm), asymmetric and irregular stenosis. However, these criteria are not particularly sensitive or specific.

Therefore, unless abdominal imaging detects biliary mass lesions, further endoscopic work-up is warranted to determine the etiology of biliary strictures.

ERCP with routine cytology and transpapillary biopsy is the first diagnostic test of choice, especially in that these strictures often require treatment with dilation and/or stenting. Advances in endoscopic and cytopathologic techniques and accessories have improved the diagnostic yield of endoscopic work-up. These
advances include EUS +/- FNA, IDUS, cholangioscopy and ancillary cytology techniques. If initial ERCP with routine cytology and biopsy is non-diagnostic, one or a combination of these diagnostic techniques is used. The choice of what next diagnostic modality should be individualized and depends on local expertise, patients’ anatomy, comorbidities (e.g. PSC), preferences and sensitivity and specificity of the tests (Table 3). The majority of these techniques are still under evaluation in clinical trials. A proposed algorithm of diagnostic approach to BBS is provided in figure 6.

Table 3 Sensitivity of diagnostic tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraductal brushing during ERCP</td>
<td>27.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endobiliary forceps biopsy</td>
<td>44.8% cholangiocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCP with intraductal US</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS FNA all biliary strictures</td>
<td>43.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS FNA for proximal strictures</td>
<td>25.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUS FNA for distal biliary stricture</td>
<td>60.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Traditional Therapy

Surgery has been considered the traditional treatment of BBS but it is associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates of approximately 9-28% and 0-2.6 % respectively[7-9]. The reported rates of recurrent stricture requiring further interventions range from 10 to 30%[10,11]. However beginning in the 1970s, minimally invasive therapies gained greater acceptance. With advances in endoscopy approaches and the development of endoscopic stenting[12] and double balloon endoscopy for patients with altered gastrointestinal anatomy[13], endoscopic management is emerging as an effective option, less invasive and better tolerated. Accordingly, the management of BBS is shifting from surgical to endoscopic treatment[14].

ANTIBIOTIC TREATMENT BEFORE ENDOSCOPIC PROCEDURE

The risk of cholangitis persist until the stricture resolution is achieved because of the static bile provides an excellent culture medium for bacterial growth. The goal of therapy is to increase the radius of the stricture because according to the Poiseuille's law the resistance to flow in a tube is inversely proportional to the fourth exponent of the tube’s radius. Therefore patients with obstructing lesions should receive prophylactic broad spectrum antibiotics before an endoscopic procedure according to the ASGE guideline because the risk of bacteremia in this setting rises to 18%[15]. The duration of antibiotic prophylaxis is guided by the outcome of the intervention, i.e., whether or not it was successful in relieving the obstruction[16]. The choice for antibiotic prophylaxis include piperacillin, cefuroxime and ciprofloxacin[17].

ENDOSCOPIC MANAGEMENT

Endoscopic treatment of BBS involves four technical steps

(1) Negotiating the stricture: Negotiating benign biliary stricture (Figure 2) is often much more difficult than neoplastic because the stenosis, may be asymmetric and with associated fibrosis that makes them thin and tighter. The therapeutic procedure for biliary strictures require a high degree of experience and use of general anesthesia that helps to achieve a successful outcome[18].

Figure 2 Cholangiographic feature of benign biliary stricture.

It is often necessary to use hydrophilic guidewires with variable diameter (0.035, 0.021, or 0.08 inch) and with different characteristics (straight or curved J shaped tip) to get across. Forceful maneuvers may create false passages and should be avoided. Guidewires are critical in maintaining biliary access, directing the catheter and stent into the correct segment and in minimizing contrast contamination of the biliary tree. Few comparative studies are available to guide choice of wire in traversing difficult strictures. Manipulation of guidewires requires high skill and optimal fluoroscopic imaging. The standard catheter used is 5 F in size and is passed over a 0.035 inch guidewire. Several other approaches have been used in such a situation. The 7 F Sohendra screw-type stent extractor (Cook Medical) is useful in difficult stricture[19]. Another technique is to use inflated stone retrieval balloon positioned just distal to the stricture results in stretching of the bile duct and modification of the axis of the guidewire. Papillotome may also be used to achieve the same result. Once the stricture is crossed, the stiffer guidewire is useful to facilitate dilation and stent placement. Sphincterotomy permit repeated stent exchanges and to allow for side-by-side placement of large bore stents. A combined endoscopic and percutaneous approach (“rendezvous”) can be used when a stricture cannot be crossed at ERCP[20]. Early studies found hydrophilic wires to be more successful in cannulation across strictures compared with traditional monofilament wires[21,22]. In case of complete transsection or ligation for postoperative strictures a guidewire cannot be passed across the lesion and thus endotherapy alone is not feasible and surgical reconstruction is indicate.

(2) Obtain a biliary specimen: Tissue acquisition (Figure 3) is a key element in the evaluation of stricture characterization. In a review, all available sampling techniques during ERCP procedure were evaluated[23]. Overall the results for pathologic examinations of tissues acquired remain frustratingly low for scirrhous nature of many tumors, difficulty in targeting the stricture and small tissue acquired.

(3) Dilating the stricture: Insertion of the guidewire across the stricture is followed by placement of a 6 Fr catheter over the guidewire and by mechanical dilation with a bougie system with a 9.5 Fr Cotton sleeve (Cook Medical) or with hydrostatic balloon with
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different caliber (4-12 mm) (Max Force, Boston Scientific) advanced over a guidewire and across the stricture under fluoroscopic control. The time of balloon inflation is usually 30 to 60 seconds.

The main goal of stricture dilation is to open the common bile duct achieving bile drainage. There are no head-to-head comparisons between the two techniques. The size of the bile duct distal to the stricture guided the degree of dilatation. Anecdotally, balloon dilation of focal strictures has the advantage of showing a waist fluoroscopically, the persistence of which indicates a need for further dilatation. Dilatation soon after biliary anastomosis can lead to dehiscence, so a more cautious approach is required in this setting.

Although immediately effective, endoscopic balloon dilation alone, is considered inadequate and associated with a high restenosis rate (up to 47%)\(^\text{[24-27]}\). Therefore most strictures should be stented after dilatation, although this is not an absolute rule, and does depend on the underlying cause. Pneumatic dilatations should be performed only in cases of effective need and only during the very first endoscopic procedure. It is very likely that the forceful disruption of the scar may add further traumatic damage to the tissue and consequential development of a new fibrotic reaction.

(4) **Biliary stent placement:** Stent placement keeps the stricture open for a prolonged period, allowing scar remodeling and consolidation. The choice of the number and type of stent is dependent mainly on the etiology of biliary stricture. According to ESGE guidelines, simultaneous placement of multiple plastic stents (“multistenting”) (Figure 4) for benign strictures of the CBD is technically feasible in >90% of patients; endoscopy provides the highest long-term biliary patency rate in 90% of postoperative biliary strictures and in 65% of strictures related to chronic pancreatitis\(^\text{[28]}\).

![Figure 3 Biopsy of the biliary stricture.](image)

![Figure 4 Multistenting.](image)
The main limitation of the multi-stenting strategy is the need for multiple ERCP sessions over the one-year period that increases costs and may decrease patient compliance. A systematic review comparing plastic versus metal stent has been published by van Boeckel et al which showed that placement of multiple plastic stent was the best choice based on clinical success, risk of complication\(^{[32-34]}\). This review had unfortunately several limitations. First no randomized trial comparing both stents have been conducted. Second there was a wide variety in treatment protocols in the various studies with plastic stents. The risk of stricture recurrence is a main problem, therefore a metal stents have been developed to overcome the limits of multi-stenting technique. SEMS (Figure 5) allows an expansion diameter 3 times that a standard 10 Fr plastic stent and requiring single procedure to achieve the same result of a multistenting.

The uncovered metal stent are associated with higher rate of occlusion due to epithelial hyperplasia\(^{[30]}\). This results in the stent embedded within the wall of the duct make them no removable and may lead to a chronic inflammatory process, which could theoretically predispose to an increased risk of cholangiocarcinoma\(^{[31]}\). Another potential complication of SEMS in benign disease is secondary stricture formation\(^{[32-34]}\). These factors have limited the use of uncovered SEMS for the treatment of benign biliary stricture.

Fully covered SEMS has been designed to prolong the duration of patency preventing occlusion from reactive tissue hyperplasia and stent embedment.

Early studies on fully covered SEMS placed without the intention of subsequent removal had a high rate of occlusion at approximately 2 years.

Most published SEMS studies have stent therapy with a duration of 2 to 6 months, and stricture resolution has ranged from 60% to 100% at the time of stent removal\(^{[32,35-39]}\).

On the other hand, the covering of metal stents can increase the risks of stent migration. Migration rates have varied between 10% and 40%. To solve this problem partially covered SEMS (PC-SEMS) were used for BBS. PC- SEMS are uncovered at both the proximal and distal ends of the stent that theoretically decrease the rate of stent migration but increase the risk of tissue embedment and difficulty in removing the stent.

Kahaleh et al. used PC-SEMS in 65 patients and stricture resolution was obtained in 90% at a median follow-up of 12 months after removal. In 14% of patients the metal stents migrated and in 9% there was mucosal hyperplasia at the level of the uncovered part of the stents\(^{(32)}\).

A new stent designs with anchoring flaps or a variable contour (Niti-S bumpy-type stent, Taewoong Medical, Seoul, Korea), in order to reduce the risk of migration of covered stents, have been studied by Park et al\(^{(40)}\). This stents were placed in patients with BBS of different causes. Nevertheless, stent migration was found in 33% of patients with stents with flared ends.

Preliminary data of a multicenter study on the use of fully covered SEMS in benign strictures have been recently reported by Devière et al.\(^{[41]}\) in abstract form at the Digestive Disease Week 2012\(^{41}\). The authors enrolled 187 patients with BBS related to chronic pancreatitis (CP), orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) and biliary surgery, with mean follow-up after removal of 209 days. Overall stricture resolution was found in 82% (CP 86%, n=44; OLT 68%, n=15; post-cholecystectomy 100%, n=6) of the patients. The study is still ongoing, but results are however encouraging.

In a recent large prospective multinational study Deviere evaluated the stricture resolution and ability to remove covered SEMS in benign biliary stricture\(^{(42)}\).

Overall removal success and stricture resolution were achieved for approximately 75% of patients. The overall rates of stricture resolution were 79.7% in patients with chronic pancreatitis, 68.3% post liver transplantation and 72.2% in the post cholecystectomy group\(^{(42)}\). A proposed algorithm of management of benign biliary strictures is provided in figure 7.

Endoscopic versus surgical Treatment

A direct comparison of surgical and endoscopic therapy was published in 1993 in a non-randomized trial which showed similar long-term success rate\(^{(43)}\).

Management of specific disorders

(1) Postoperative stricture: Patients who undergo liver transplantations are at higher risk of developing BBS (20-30%). Biliary stricture after cholecystectomy represent the second cause of post-operative stricture. The cause of post-operative stricture is a consequence of direct ductal trauma (partial or complete transaction
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hepaticojejunostomy (HJ) is the most frequently used type of biliary reconstruction\cite{13,54}, although hepatodudenoectomy can be selectively used for Bismuth–Strasberg classification E1 or E2 strictures\cite{53}. Previously published data have shown that endoscopic treatment is at least as effective as surgical treatment for BBS with reported success rate of 80%. Although there are no randomized controlled trials comparing the two modalities, retrospective a case series have shown similar long term results and re-stenosis rates. 

**Post-transplant biliary complications:** Biliary complication after liver transplantation occur in about 5 to 30\%\cite{64-66}. Post-transplant biliary strictures are classified as anastomotic and non-anastomotic and early (within 1 month) or late. Early stricture (within 1-2 months) are generally related to peri-operative events (excessive cautery, dissection, tension of the duct anastomosis) and are mostly anastomotic. Usually have the best response rate to endoscopic treatment with a stricture resolution after 3 months in most cases. Late stricture are caused by vascular insufficiency and fibrosis\cite{67,68}. Usually require 12 to 24 month of endoscopic stenting with stent exchange every 3 months to ensure a durable response. Long-term response to endoscopic treatments has been reported in 70-90\% of cases. Most anastomotic strictures occur within the first year after LT. Among the etiological factors underlying early anastomotic stricture, technical issues appear to be the most important in the development of BBS\cite{99}. The cholangiographic appearance of anastomotic stricture is characterized by a single, short stricture in the middle portion of the common bile duct. Bile leakage is an independent risk factor for the development of anastomotic stricture\cite{70}. With regard to anastomotic strictures with later onset, the findings mostly implicate fibrotic scarring arising from ischemia damage of the anastomosis\cite{71-73}. The incidence of duct-to-duct anastomotic stricture in right lobe living-donor transplant recipients has been consistently higher than in recipients of whole-liver grafts\cite{79}. This difference has been attributed to the limited blood supply at the anastomosis and to the presence of multiple, small-caliber donor bile ducts\cite{72}. Non-anastomotic stricture (10-25\% of cases) shows multiple intrahepatic lesions and occurs earlier than anastomotic stricture, with a mean time to stricture of 3 to 6 months\cite{77,70}. Multiple factors contribute to non-anastomotic strictures, including ischemic injury from hepatic artery thrombosis, donor hypotension during cardiac death, long warm and cold ischemic times, reperfusion injury, immunologically-induced injuries, such as ductopenic rejection, and cytotoxic injury induced by clipping or ligation) or ischemic insult from thermal or dissection injury. The diagnosis occur usually 6 to 12 months after surgery and earlier presentation can be associated with bile leak. The widespread application of laparoscopic approach to cholecystectomy has been associated with an increasing incidence of bile duct injuries. Estimated incidence has increased from approximately 0.1 to 0.6\% compared with earlier studies where open cholecystectomy was the mainstay of treatment\cite{44,48}. Management of bile duct injuries has been predominantly surgical in the past. Although initial surgical success was reported to be more than 90\%, follow up studies have found a 9% to 25% recurrence rate, a morbidity rate of 40\% and a mortality of 1.3 to 1.7\%\cite{44-47,49}. Endoscopic therapy has been associated with variable success with reported response rates between 40 to 90\%. Several variations in endoscopic stent protocols have been described for the treatment of postoperative benign biliary strictures with successful stricture resolution reported in 74-90\% of patients\cite{25,50}. The Amsterdam protocol suggested to place two 10 Fr stents whenever possible and exchanged every 3 months for a period of 1 year. Bergman reported a technical success of 80\% with a recurrence stenosis occurring in 20\% of patients after a median follow-up of 9.1 years\cite{51}. Costamagna et al reported a more aggressive biliary stent approach to overcome high rate of recurrence consist of placing as many stents as possible during each ERCP session with elective stent exchange performed every 3 months\cite{52}. At each exchange, all previously placed stents were removed and the maximum number of large diameter stents inserted, as permitted by the diameter of the duct distal to the stricture and the diameter of the stricture itself. The treatment protocol was stopped when complete morphologic disappearance of the stricture was demonstrated by occlusion cholangiography after stent removal and confirmed by subsequent cholangiography performed through the nasobiliary drain 24-48 later. On a intention to treat analysis endoscopic treatment was successful in 89\% of case. The outcomes of endoscopic management depend on both the etiology and location of the stricture. Distal lesions (Bismuth I and II) show a better success rate than proximal biliary lesions (Bismuth III, 80 vs 25 \%)\cite{74}. Larger, fully or partially covered metallic stents may improve the results for patients with biliary stricture. Treatment with covered metallic stents or bioabsorbable stents warrants further evaluation (14, 32). Surgical management should be considered when these endoscopic techniques are not effective\cite{9,44,48}. Roux-en-Y
by bile salts and cytomegalovirus infection. They typically occur at the hepatic confluence and can progress to the intrahepatic ducts, occasionally in multiple locations. The accumulation of biliary sludge and casts is thought to arise from sloughing of the biliary epithelium caused by underlying ischemic states or immunological injury. The response to endoscopic treatment is low, ranging from 0% to 50% and treatment is usually more prolonged. Up to 30 to 50% of patients require retransplantation despite endoscopic treatment is low, ranging from 0% to 50%. The poor response to endoscopic treatment is likely related to the multifocal structure, associated sludge and casts and recurrence of underlying disorders.

The clinical success, recurrence, migration and complication rates are summarized in table 4.

A recent systematic review compared the efficacy and safety of multiple plastic stents versus covered self-expandable metal stents in anastomotic biliary strictures.

They found 8 studies involving 440 patients treated by plastic stent with overall technical success rates ranging from 92% to 100%. Comparison of stricture resolution rates between the subgroups (early vs late ABS) and stent duration (<12 months vs ≥12 months) showed that stricture resolution rates were 84.3% (range 72-92%) for early ABS and 86.5% (range 64-100%) for late ABS. The stricture resolution rates for stent duration of less than 12 months was 78.3% compared with 97% for duration longer than 12 months. The authors found that the stricture resolution rates with SEMS in OLT patients are excellent with immediate relief of cholestasis in almost all cases, and success rates are better compared with MPSs for this indication. There are several limitations to this review. First, the available data was in the form of case series in which either MPSs or SEMSs were used and each studies enrolled small number of patients. Second significant heterogeneity existed among the studies with respect to primary outcome, patient selection, stent type, stent duration, types of SEMSs, and follow-up periods.

### Chronic pancreatitis

Surgery is still considered the gold standard treatment for benign biliary strictures related to chronic pancreatitis. Common bile duct strictures occur in 10% to 30% of patients with chronic pancreatitis. Endoscopic therapy is a reasonable first-line management option; however, it tends to be less effective in treating strictures secondary to calcific pancreatitis and surgical bypass should always be considered if the patient is an appropriate surgical candidate and endoscopic therapy fails. Short-term (1-month) results in the treatment of biliary stricture with plastic or SEMS are similar regarding success and complication rates. Although short-term results are excellent with immediate relief of cholestasis in almost all cases, it remains unclear whether endoscopic plastic stent placement can achieve definitive stricture resolution, especially in tight fibrotic strictures. The response to endoscopic treatment is low, ranging from 0% to 50%. The poor response to endoscopic treatment is likely related to the multifocal structure, associated sludge and casts and recurrence of underlying disorders.

The clinical success, recurrence, migration and complication rates are summarized in table 4.

A recent systematic review compared the efficacy and safety of multiple plastic stents versus covered self-expandable metal stents in anastomotic biliary strictures.

They found 8 studies involving 440 patients treated by plastic stent with overall technical success rates ranging from 92% to 100%. Comparison of stricture resolution rates between the subgroups (early vs late ABS) and stent duration (<12 months vs ≥12 months) showed that stricture resolution rates were 84.3% (range 72-92%) for early ABS and 86.5% (range 64-100%) for late ABS. The stricture resolution rates for stent duration of less than 12 months was 78.3% compared with 97% for duration longer than 12 months. The authors found that the stricture resolution rates with SEMS in OLT patients are excellent with immediate relief of cholestasis in almost all cases, and success rates are better compared with MPSs for this indication. There are several limitations to this review. First, the available data was in the form of case series in which either MPSs or SEMSs were used and each studies enrolled small number of patients. Second significant heterogeneity existed among the studies with respect to primary outcome, patient selection, stent type, stent duration, types of SEMSs, and follow-up periods.
poor results of endoscopic therapy for chronic pancreatitis following plastic stent have encouraged the use of self expandable metal stent (SEMS). However the majority of the study reporting the use of uncovered SEMS have been small with variable results\[91,92\] but with complications related to stent occlusion for mucosal hyperplasia\[93\]. Attempts to overcome such drawbacks by placing covered SEMS that have larger “dilation” diameter than plastic stents which can be achieved after one endoscopic procedure. Short term (1 month) results for biliary stenting are similar for plastic and SEMS in terms of success and complication rates. Long-term, results for temporary biliary stenting are summarized in table 5. Successful treatment was reported in 31% with single plastic stent and 62% with simultaneous multiple plastic stents. A single non-randomized trial comparing single plastic versus multiple plastic stent showed overall clinical success in 24% vs 92% patients, respectively ($p<0.01)$\[94\]. Furthermore use of uncovered stent or partially covered in this setting has been abandoned because of disappointing long-term results\[95,96\]. Uncovered SEMS for BBS are not advocated and partially or fully covered SEMS have been used with a success rate of 50%-80% on follow up for 22-28 months. The trials result of covered SEMS in the treatment of biliary stricture due to chronic pancreatitis are summarized in table 5. The resolution stricture rates ranged from 28-90% with a recurrence rates from 10-75% showing that we are away from the target. A recently multicenter trial using fully covered SEMS (FCSEMS) in BBS was conducted including 127 patients of CP. It concluded that FCSEMS may be useful for treatment of BBS particularly in patients with CP\[42\]. In our unit we placed one fully covered metal stent in patients unfit for surgery considering the low success rate of plastic stent in the long term follow up and the need for repeated ERCP procedure with higher cost and better patient compliance.

PSC: PSC is a chronic progressive inflammatory disorder affecting the medium and large intrahepatic and extrahepatic bile ducts. About 15-60% of patients with PSC develop a dominant stricture. Endoscopic management focuses on treatment of dominant strictures because the strictureing process is diffuse, with most patients having intrahepatic and extrahepatic duct involvement.

The management of PSC with a dominant stricture is challenging and depends on multiple factors; the difficulty in distinguishing malignant from benign strictures, the difficulty in managing proximal and multiple strictures, the high rate of secondary bacterial cholangitis, and the lack of definitive therapeutic strategies aside from liver transplantation, are all critical factors in deciding the best approach to management. Malignancy always needs to be considered and excluded in the management of dominant strictures. The prevalence of cholangiocarcinoma in PSC ranges from 8% to 25%\[109,110\]. Management decisions should consider the impact on liver transplantation, the only cure for PSC. Progression of PSC is generally slow, and early management of dominant strictures should be designed to improve symptoms and quality of life without jeopardizing successful and timely transplant. Endoscopic biliary dilation of dominant strictures, usually with multiple sessions, achieves clinical and biochemical response in around 80% of cases\[109,110\].

Several studies have shown a better predicted Mayo risk score after endoscopic therapy\[109-111\].

These studies were mainly observational, with endoscopic treatment combined with Ursodeoxycholic acid, making it difficult to draw conclusions on endotherapy alone. One retrospective study found complication rates to be higher with stenting compared with dilatation alone\[112\] which led Ponsion and colleagues to propose a short-term stenting protocol of 11 days that has reported success on cholestatic complaints in about 83% of cases and a reintervention free proportion after 1 and 3 years were respectively of 80% and 60%\[113\]. Most clinicians therefore perform biliary dilatation up to 24 Fr and apply a biliary stent to maintain patency, with administration of prophylactic antibiotics during and after the procedure. No prospective studies have compared the optimal duration of stent therapy with the frequency of endoscopic dilatation of dominant strictures. Our position is to favour short term stent placement (4 weeks) because ERCP usually in these patients require multiple techniques such as sphincterotomy, dilatation, brushing, biopsy that may cause edema reducing the possibility of stricture resolution. Placement of a single stent avoid cholangitis and provide better dilation. No studies have compared the endoscopic versus surgical therapies for dominant strictures.

Autoimmune cholangiopathy (IgG 4cholangiopathy): IgG4 cholangiopathy or IgG4 sclerosing cholangitis remains an evolving clinical entity, with endoscopic management playing a supportive role to immunosuppressive therapy. It is a unique systemic inflammatory condition characterized by IgG4 plasma cell infiltration of various organs as well as high serum IgG4. AIC may occur as an isolated biliary disease with or without AIP causing biliary stricture at any point in the biliary tree or pancreatic ducts\[94\]. Most patients with IgG4 cholangiopathy have associated autoimmune pancreatitis, and biliary obstruction can be from primary biliary inflammation or secondary to a pancreatic mass. Diagnosis can be difficult and confused with PSC, especially in the absence of reliable histology and when IgG4 staining is not available. A study by Nakazawa and colleagues proposed the use of cholangiographic characteristics to differentiate PSC from IgG4 cholangiopathy\[114,115\]. The usefulness of these features was disputed in a study comparing cholangiogram interpretation of PSC, cholangiocarcinoma, and IgG4 cholangiopathy, with interobserver agreement of only 0.18\[116\]. Although response to corticosteroid therapy is less predictable than with pancreatic disease, medical therapy and immunosuppression remains the primary therapy. There is minimal literature describing endoscopic therapy for IgG4 cholangiopathy but, based on general principles, endoscopic stenting has a role to temporary relieve jaundice during medical therapy waiting for a diagnosis, which is confirmed by a response and regression of strictures after starting steroid treatment\[118\].

HIV related structure: HIV cholangiopathy is a rare condition, first described in the late 1980s, that is associated with cholangiographic abnormalities including papillary stenosis, sclerosing cholangitis, and biliary strictures. Extrahepatic biliary strictures alone or combined with intrahepatic duct strictures and papillary stenosis occur in approximately one third of patients. It typically occurs in patients with CD4 counts less than 100/mm$^3$, and is therefore an extremely rare entity in the current era of highly active anti retroviral therapy (HAART). HIV cholangiopathy is associated with chronic biliary tract infections, most commonly with Cryptosporidium parvum but also cytomegalovirus, Microsporidium, and Cyclospora. Because patients with HIV cholangiopathy have advanced disease with a short median survival, treatment is designed to control symptoms. Antimicrobial therapy does not generally improve symptoms or cholangiographic appearance. Endoscopic sphincterotomy is effective at relieving pain in patients with papillary stenosis\[119,120\].

Extrahepatic biliary strictures are best managed with plastic stent therapy, whereas Castiella and colleagues described response to ursodeoxycholic acid for intrahepatic sclerosis\[121\].

Portal biliopathy: The term portal biliopathy was first coined
in the 1990s, where it was used to describe abnormalities in the intrahepatic and extrahepatic biliary tract, gallbladder and cystic duct secondary to portal hypertension. It is common in portal vein thrombosis and less often in cirrhosis and non-cirrhotic portal fibrosis. Studies in these patients using ERCP have shown that changes in the bile ducts occur in 81%-100% of them, although only 5%-30% have symptoms of biliary obstruction[125-129]. The left hepatic ducts are always involved, the right ductal system is involved in 56% and there are changes in the common bile duct in 90%. The natural history of portal biliopathy is not known. The majority of patients (70%-95%) do not manifest with any symptoms of biliary obstruction. Cholangiography is useful in the diagnosis and classifying the type of portal biliopathy. Based on the location and extent of cholangiographic abnormalities, a simple classification of portal biliopathy has been proposed[129].

Type I : Involvement of extrahepatic bile duct.
Type II : Involvement of intrahepatic bile ducts only.
Type IIIa : Involvement of extrahepatic bile duct and unilateral intrahepatic bile duct (Left or Right).
Type IIIb : Involvement of extrahepatic bile duct and bilateral intrahepatic bile duct.

The clinical features depend on the location and extent of bile duct obstruction. When bile duct obstruction is partial, patients are asymptomatic, when become complete, patients present with features of ascending cholangitis. Prolonged obstruction often leads to the development of secondary biliary cirrhosis. There is no consensus on the optimal treatment for symptomatic portal biliopathy. Endoscopic treatment is preferred in patients with CBD stones, cholangitis or if shunt surgery is not feasible[126-129]. Mechanical lithotripsy may be required for large stones. Endoscopic sphincterotomy with stenting or nasobiliary tube drainage may be necessary in patients with cholangitis[130-132]. Balloon dilatation of dominant CBD strictures with stone extraction has also been described by various authors. The major problems with endoscopic management depends on different factors. First filling defects seen on imaging may be due to varices and may lead to bleeding during attempted clearance. Second venous collaterals in the region of the ampulla of Vater may lead to bleeding during papillotomy, so this procedure should only be attempted in experienced centres which have a good surgical backup[133,134]. Third balloon dilatation with stenting of dominant strictures may help to relieve biliary obstruction but these stents become blocked frequently requiring multiple changes with their inherent risk of bleeding[131]. Finally multiple sessions require the patients to be compliant and have ready access to endoscopic expertise. Therefore, although endoscopic extraction remains the preferred treatment in patients with CBD stones, most centres consider shunt surgery to be the first line of management for biliary obstruction to bile duct strictures, unless complications like cholangitis or absence of a shuntable vein exist. In patients with symptomatic biliary obstruction not amenable to endoscopic therapy, a porto-systemic shunt is indicated to decompress the portal system[136]. While regression of ectopic varices has been noted in most, a proportion of patients continue to have bile duct changes[137]. Hepatico-jejunostomy may be beneficial in these non-responders.

**BIOADSORBABLE STENT**

Self expandable stents made of bioadsorbable material may have several advantages compared to the plastic and self expandable metal stent[138,139] because of improved patency for their larger diameter, lower biofilm accumulation, reduced bile duct proliferation changes and no removable stent. Furthermore can be impregnated with antimicrobial or anti-neoplastic agents. Larger, prospective, well-designed studies must demonstrate long-term efficacy and safety of biodegradable stents for a broad range of benign indications.

**CONCLUSION**

Therapeutic endoscopy plays a key role in the treatment of benign biliary strictures.

Despite the lack of randomized controlled trials, endoscopy has become the first line therapy for post-liver transplant anastomotic strictures and post-operative strictures.

Structures related to chronic pancreatitis have proven more difficult to treat, and endoscopic therapy is reserved for patients, who are not surgical candidates.

At present, according to ESGE guidelines, in compliant patients with benign CBD strictures, the preferred endoscopic approach is aggressive dilatation of the stricture and insertion of multiple plastic stents “multistenting”.

Placement of uncovered SEMS in these patients is strongly discouraged due to poor long-term result. Covered SEMS can be safely placed in selected patients.

A rendezvous techniques can be useful in case of failure of ERCP for and in patients with surgically modified anatomy or with non-accessible papilla.

Surgery is a valid option in cases of complete transection or ligation of the CBD, in selected patients with CP-related CBD stricture, and in non-compliant patients.

In any case, endoscopy should be always attempted, because it is safe and repeatable.

What is already known on this topic

Endoscopic treatment is a safe and efficacy method to treat benign biliary strictures.

Multistenting treatment is the preferred method in case of post-operative and post liver transplantation stricture. In case of failure FC SEMS is a valid option.

Biliary strictures secondary to chronic pancreatitis are best treated by FC SEMS placement in case of controindication to surgery.

PSC dominant stricture are treated by dilation and eventually by stent.

Autoimmune cholangiopathy is treated by steroid or immunosuppressive agents. Plastic stent could be temporarily useful to relieve the stricture.

Portal hypertensive stricture has been treated by placing a plastic stent and in case of massive bleeding with FC SEMS.

The golden standard of diagnosis in case of malignant suspicious is based on histology.

What this study adds to our knowledge

Clear approach to benign biliary strictures.

What we would like to know

Use of fully covered SEMS in benign biliary stricture as first approach.

A more accurate method of differential diagnosis between benign and malignant stricture.

Use of stent in PSC dominant stricture.

Use of bioadsorbable stents.
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Biliary cannulation with a sphincterotomy pre-loaded with a guidewire reducing the risk of PEP.

Position is paramount.

Use of rectal NSAID or pancreatic stent (3-5 FR x 3 cm length) in patient at risk of PEP or in case of contrast in pancreatic duct to prevent Post ERCP pancreatitis (PEP):

(1) Perform cholangiography and describe the diameter of CBD and stricture morphology.

(2) Perform sphincterotomy and negotiate the stricture with a adequate guidewire (never forced).

(3) Dilate as appropriate with bougie or balloon (4 to 12 mm) advanced over a guidewire and across the stricture under fluoroscopic control maintaining the balloon fully inflated for 30 to 60 seconds. Do biopsy in case of doubt.

(4) Place plastic stent (as many stents as possible) (Rome criteria) (initially 1-2 stents) and increase numbers during each ERCP sessions (elective stent exchange every 3 months for 1 year).

**CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

There are no conflicts of interest with regard to the present study.

**REFERENCES**

There are no conflicts of interest with regard to the present study.


65 Gondolesi GE, Varotti G, Florman SS. Muñoz L, Fishbein TM,
Tringali A. Endoscopic management of benign biliary stricture


van Berkel AM. Self-expanding metal stents in benign biliary strictures due to chronic pancreatitis. Endoscopy 2004; 35:381-84


Catalano MF, Linder JD, George S. Treatment of symptomatic distal common bile duct stenosis secondary to chronic pancreatitis: comparison of single vs. multiple simultaneous stents. Gastrointest Endosc 2004; 60: 945-952


Behm B, A Brock, Clarke BW, Northup PG, Dumonceau JM,
Kahaleh M. Partially covered self-expandable metallic stents for benign biliary strictures due to chronic pancreatitis. *Endoscopy* 2009; 41: 547-551


107 van Milligen de Wit AW, van Bracht J, Rauws EA. Endoscopic stent therapy for dominant extrahepatic bile duct strictures in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996; 44: 293


110 Baluyut AR, Sherman S, Lehman GA. Impact of endoscopic therapy on the survival of patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001; 53: 308-312


115 Nakazawa T, Ohara H, Sano H. Cholangiography can discriminate primary sclerosing cholangitis from autoimmune pancreatitis from primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004; 60: 937-944


117 Kalaitzakis E, Levy M, Kamisawa T. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiography does not reliably distinguish IgG4-associated cholangitis from primary sclerosing cholangitis or cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; 9: 800–3 e2.130


130 Lohr JM, Kuchenreuter S, Grebmeier H, Hahn EG, Fleig WE. Compression of the common bile duct due to portal vein thrombosis in polycythemia vera. *Hepatology* 1993; 17: 586-592


134 Tighe M, Jacobson I. Bleeding from bile duct varices as unexpected hazard during therapeutic ERCP. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996; 43: 25-252


Peer reviewers: Hiroshi Yoshida, Director and Professor, Department of Surgery, Nippon Medical School Tama Nagayama Hospital, 1-7-3 Nagayama, Tama-city, Tokyo, 206-8512, Japan; Daniel Neureiter, MD, M.A., ÖAK-Fortbildungsdiplom, Leitender Oberarzt, Universitätss Institute für Pathologie, Paracelsus Medizinische Privatuniversität, Gemeinnützige Salzburger Landeskliniken BetriebsgesellschaftmbH, Müllner Hauptstr. 48, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria; Suk Kim, Department of diagnostic radiology, College of Medicine, Pusan National University & Pusan National University Hospital, Busan 602739, South Korea.