bloating, and total constipation plus bloating scores for the herbal extract and placebo groups. The repeated measures analysis of variance tests showed a significant time/group interaction for the herbal extract effect on improving all three scores. There were significant improvements in the average constipation (p=0.0034), bloating (p<0.001) and constipation plus bloating scores (p<0.001) for the herbal extracts group compared to no improvement for the placebo arm. There were no reports of AEs over the 2-week period.

CONCLUSION: The results from this pilot study suggest a blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree and Mentha balsamea Willd can safely manage symptoms in IBS-C subjects.
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To assess efficacy and safety of a blended Quebracho, Conker Tree and Mentha balsamea Willd extract in patients diagnosed with irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-C).

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This was a 2-week double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of patients previously diagnosed with IBS-C (N=16). Subjects were randomized at baseline and assessed using a scoring system for symptoms of constipation, bloating, and a total constipation plus bloating score before receiving the blended herbal extract or placebo. At baseline and 2 weeks, vital signs, concomitant medications, diary entries of symptoms, and adverse events were recorded as well as assessment of constipation, bloating, and a total constipation plus bloating score. Treatment group, time of symptom scores, and the interaction between group and time were analyzed. Paired t-tests were used to assess temporal effects within each treatment group.

RESULTS: There were no baseline differences in the constipation, bloating, and total constipation plus bloating scores for the herbal extract and placebo groups. The repeated measures analysis of variance tests showed a significant time/group interaction for the herbal extract effect on improving all three scores. There were significant improvements in the average constipation (p=0.0034), bloating (p<0.001) and constipation plus bloating scores (p<0.001) for the herbal extracts group compared to no improvement for the placebo arm. There were no reports of AEs over the 2-week period.

CONCLUSION: The results from this pilot study suggest a blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree and Mentha balsamea Willd can safely manage symptoms in IBS-C subjects.

© 2015 ACT. All rights reserved.
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Adverse Events (AEs); End of Study (EOS) Gastrointestinal (GI); Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS); IBS with Constipation (IBS-C); IBS with Diarrhea (IBS-D); IBS with Alternating Constipation and Diarrhea (IBS-M); Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth (SIBO); Selective Norepinephrine-Reuptake Inhibitors (SNRIs); Selective Serotonin-Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs); Tricyclic Antidepressants (TCAs).
INTRODUCTION

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional gastrointestinal (GI) motility disorder characterized by the presence of abdominal pain and a change in the pattern of bowel movements in the absence of other diagnosed disease[31]. Based on population studies, the incidence of IBS is between 7% and 20% worldwide[32] with women experiencing IBS symptoms 1.5 to 2 times more frequently than men[3]. Diagnosis of IBS after excluding other diseases or pathology is categorized according abdominal pain with differing stool consistency of three main subtypes: IBS with constipation (IBS-C), with diarrhea (IBS-D), or alternating constipation and diarrhea (IBS-M)[33]. The incidence of each subtype, IBS-C, -D, and -M, varies according to national population analyzed. In the United States, for example, IBS-M represents about 40% of the population with IBS-C and -D relatively equally distributed among the population at ~27%[34]. More women than men are diagnosed especially with IBS-C[35]. Symptomology in these women has a huge impact on quality of life and productivity[36]. It is estimated that constipation alone results in hundreds of millions of dollars in healthcare expenditures, lost productivity to the economy and personal costs in terms of lower quality of life[37-39].

Symptoms of IBS-C according to Rome III criteria include recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort at least 3 days/month in the last 3 months associated with two or more of the following: 1. Improvement with defecation; 2. Onset associated with a change in frequency of stool; 3. Onset associated with constipation. The criterion must be fulfilled for the last 3 months with symptom onset at least 6 months prior to diagnosis and there must be hard or lumpy stools ≥25% and loose or watery stools <25% of the time[40]. The symptoms of gas, bloating and constipation in IBS-C patients have been linked to the production of gases, particularly hydrogen and methane, in the upper small intestine as measured by lactulose breath testing[11]. The bacteria generating these gases in functional bowel patients are putatively methogenic archaebacteria[12]. There is strong evidence that methane delays intestinal transit, possibly acting through neuropeptide signals[13]. This is further supported by the finding that methane production is associated with delayed transit time in clinical studies[14-15].

Antibiotics such as rifaximin and neomycin have been shown in clinical studies to reduce symptoms in IBS-C[10], but are not currently approved for use in this condition. Other typical treatments include fiber supplements[17], laxatives such as polyethylene glycol and stimulants[18,19], prosecretory agents such as lubiprostone and linaclotide[20,21], and probiotics[22]. All of these therapies have a variable effect in patients with IBS-C. The use of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and selective norepinephrine-reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) have also been found to be efficacious in IBS patients but not without side effects[23]. Antibiotics are used to treat IBS, particularly if small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) is suspected. Rifaximin, for example, is used as a short course treatment primarily for non-constipated IBS patients[24]. Patients with IBS-C, where bacterial overgrowth is suspected or patients with bloating, are particularly difficult to manage since the bacteria present produce methane and hydrogen, potentially inhibiting intestinal transit[25]. A diet low in fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAPs) has been shown to reduce gas, bloating and IBS symptoms in some patients[26]. Even with all of these therapies, there is a need for safe, efficacious agents for patients with IBS-C with abdominal pain, gas, and bloating.

Quebracho extract contains tannins which are large delocalized flavonoid structures. These molecules potentially have dual function; acting as a molecular sink for excess hydrogen and methane as well as disrupting and destroying bacterial lipid bilayers[27,28]. Ruminants fed tannins show reduced emission of methane[29,30]. Conker Tree extract, which contains escins also known as saponins, have been shown to act as an antimicrobial agent to promote intestinal motility[31] and may directly reduce methane production/emission[22,33]. Finally, M. balsamea Wild extract contains peppermint oil which has evidence for managing abdominal discomfort[22].

Based on the activity of the molecules summarized above, a combination of these three extracts was tested in a 2-week double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled in-office experiential study of patients previously diagnosed with IBS-C symptoms of constipation and bloating meeting Rome III criteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trial design
To test the effectiveness of a new over-the-counter dietary supplement consisting of a blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree and M. balsamea Wild (Attantil)™ with purported activity in bloating and constipation, participants (N =16) were individually randomized to one of two parallel groups, blended extract or placebo using a 1:1 construction. Concomitant medications for comorbidities were noted and recorded. Subjects were then randomized to receive extract or placebo. At baseline and 2 weeks, subjects visited the site. Vital signs were taken, there was a review of diaries and recording of any adverse events (AEs), and study product was examined for compliance. At week 2, study product and placebo were collected, unused product recorded, and the symptom questionnaire administered.

The study was conducted in accordance with ICH Guidelines on Good Clinical Practice and the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, as revised in 2004) and approved by an internal research ethics committee. Screening was performed after a 2-week wash out period of any medications used to treat IBS-C. Subject medical history, vital signs, a urine pregnancy test and symptom questionnaire were administered prior to randomization. There were no changes to the protocol throughout the study.

Participants
Inclusion criteria were age at least 18 years, a diagnosis of IBS-C at least 6 months prior to enrollment into the study (according to Rome III criteria) and a history of uncontrolled symptoms of constipation and bloating. Participants were excluded if they had a diagnosis of IBS with diarrhea (ROME III criteria), a history of any serious GI, hepatic, renal, cardiovascular, neurological or hematological disorder, history of drug or alcohol abuse, history of psychiatric disorders, or history of allergy to study-related products. All patients signed written, informed consent prior to being randomized in the study to participate as well as to have the data published upon completion.

Study Setting
This was a single-site, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled 2-week study which enrolled subjects previously diagnosed with IBS-C.

Interventions
Participants, after meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria, were randomized to receive either the study product consisting of...
Quebracho (150 mg), Conker Tree (470 mg) and M. balsamea Willd oil (0.2 mL) extracts or placebo. The Quebracho extract is standardized to 80-82% polyphenol content with 72-74% soluble tannins, primarily consisting of profisetindin subunits as part of trimeric, tetrameric and pentameric condensed tannins (~75%) determined by MALDI-TOF and 1H- and 13C-NMR fingerprint analysis. The Conker Tree extract is standardized to 20% saponin content by UV-Visible spectrophotometry and High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) densitometry. Finally, pure peppermint oil content from M. balsamea Willd was determined by specific gravity, angular rotation and refractive index (USP29). The amount of each ingredient in the study product was determined empirically based on the highest, non-toxic commercial available amount of tannins in the Quebracho, saponins in Conker Tree, and peppermint oil in M. balsamea Willd extracts which have been consumed as part of foods so that they could be combined in a single capsule for ease of dosing. Any other medications used to treat IBS-C as well as narcotics were not permitted and no rescue medication for IBS, constipation, or bloating was allowed for the duration of the study. Any unused study product was collected at the end of the study.

Outcomes
A 7 point Likert scale for IBS was administered at baseline and 2 weeks[25]. Questions were totaled to create subscores for constipation, bloating, and a total constipation plus bloating score.

Randomization and Blinding
Simple randomization was used for this study at a 1:1 ratio. The sample size is sufficient for the analysis to evaluate an exploratory response of the blended extract (n=8) and placebo (n=8). The blended extract and the matching placebo had a similar color and was encapsulated to assure that the participants in each group as well as the health care providers could not tell them apart. The blind was maintained by specific gravity, angular rotation and refractive index (USP29). These IBS-C patients had been diagnosed for several years with uncontrolled constipation and bloating. Post study results were only available for 13 of 16 participants. Two placebo and one subject administered the blended extract did not report results. All participants reporting results did not have any unused study product remaining at the end of the study suggesting that 13 of 16 participants were compliant with taking each study intervention.

The repeated measures analysis of variance tests showed a significant time x group interaction for the percent improvement of constipation, bloating and the total scores. Within group changes were statistically significant for constipation, bloating and the total score (Table 1). Similar results were found using a Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test comparing extract to placebo at baseline and EOS. At baseline there was no statistical difference between extract and placebo, but by EOS, the extract showed significantly better symptom management (Table 2).

Table 1 Comparison of Post- vs. Pre-Scores for Extract and Placebo Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Paired T-Test, p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Constipation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Bloating</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Score</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Constipation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Bloating</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Pre Avg Score</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant Response.

Table 2 Pre- and Post-Administration of Extract Compared to Placebo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Median (25%, 75%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Min, Max</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Avg Constipation</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.50 (1.25, 2.50)</td>
<td>2.14 (0.75)</td>
<td>1.00, 3.00</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.25 (1.25, 1.50)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.21)</td>
<td>1.25, 1.75</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Avg Bloating</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.25 (0.75, 2.00)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.76)</td>
<td>0.00, 2.00</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00 (0.25, 2.00)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.95)</td>
<td>0.00, 2.50</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Avg Score</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.17 (1.17, 2.33)</td>
<td>1.90 (0.57)</td>
<td>1.00, 2.33</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.53 (1.17, 1.83)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.56)</td>
<td>1.00, 1.83</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Avg Constipation</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.25 (4.00, 4.25)</td>
<td>4.11 (0.45)</td>
<td>3.25, 4.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.63 (1.50, 2.00)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.31)</td>
<td>1.00, 2.50</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Avg Bloating</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.50 (5.00, 6.00)</td>
<td>5.38 (0.69)</td>
<td>4.00, 6.00</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.25 (1.00, 2.00)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.50, 2.50</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Avg Score</td>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.67 (4.50, 4.83)</td>
<td>4.52 (0.49)</td>
<td>3.50, 5.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.58 (1.50, 1.83)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.45)</td>
<td>1.17, 2.50</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0034*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant Response.

Statistical Methods
Scores for constipation, bloating, and a total constipation plus bloating were reported as means plus standard deviations. Each subject was evaluated at baseline and the end of study (EOS) at 2 weeks. These results were analyzed using a repeated measures analysis of variance model that included terms for treatment group, time of symptom scores, and the interaction between group and time. Paired t-tests were used to compare changes over time within each treatment group. Wilcoxon two-sample tests were used to compare treatment groups at each time point.

RESULTS
Subjects in this randomized, double-blind single-site study ranged in age from 23 to 57 years (mean=38 years). There were 13 females and 3 males initially enrolled. The subjects were primarily Caucasian in the study, with two Hispanic and one of Middle Eastern participant. These IBS-C patients had been diagnosed for several years with uncontrolled constipation and bloating. Post study results were only available for 13 of 16 participants. Two placebo and one subject administered the blended extract did not report results. All participants reporting results did not have any unused study product remaining at the end of the study suggesting that 13 of 16 participants were compliant with taking each study intervention.

These IBS-C patients had been diagnosed for several years with uncontrolled constipation and bloating. Post study results were only available for 13 of 16 participants. Two placebo and one subject administered the blended extract did not report results. All participants reporting results did not have any unused study product remaining at the end of the study suggesting that 13 of 16 participants were compliant with taking each study intervention.

The repeated measures analysis of variance tests showed a significant time x group interaction for the percent improvement of constipation, bloating and the total scores. Within group changes comparing at EOS (Post) to baseline (Pre) scores demonstrated a significant improvement in the extract group but not in the placebo group for constipation, bloating and the total score (Table 1).

Similar results were found using a Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test comparing extract to placebo at baseline and EOS. At baseline there was no statistical difference between extract and placebo, but by EOS, the extract showed significantly better symptom management (Table 2).
The plot of individual subject scores for constipation and bloating before and after administration of either the extract or placebo is shown in Figure 1.

Finally, there were no dropouts or reported AEs during the course of the study or changes in concomitant medications for comorbid conditions.

Though this study has a small number of patients, the results demonstrate that a blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree and M. balsamea Willd can dramatically improve constipation and bloating in patients with IBS-C compared to placebo. This is the first report of this combination of ingredients for the management of symptoms in IBS-C patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Irritable bowel syndrome with constipation represents a difficult to treat, intractable problem especially in women that effects quality of life and work productivity[21-23]. Mönnikes has found that IBS patients have worse health-related quality of life even compared to patients with diabetes and end-stage renal disease[24]. Symptoms such as altered bowel movements, abdominal pain, bloating and distension drive this worsening effect on life functions. It is estimated that over 90% of IBS patients suffer from bloating which is directly linked to abdominal pain and distention[25]. These symptoms may be caused SIBO or dysbiosis. Better symptom management is needed, even with currently marketed FDA-approved drugs which have been shown to be safe and effective.

Like many approaches to human health which begin in animal husbandry such as probiotics[26] and oral serum-derived bovine immunoglobulin[27], the blended extract tested in this study has its origins in reducing gas emissions in ruminants[28]. Fermentation of grasses and other forage in the bovine gut leads to gas production reducing the utilization of feed sources adversely affecting meat and milk production[29]. Therefore, a variety of tannins have been used as feed additives to decrease gases such as hydrogen and methane in cows and other animals. In the human digestive tract, bacteria in the colon, but also those in the small intestine, can ferment certain foodstuffs to hydrogen and methane[25]. It has been found that individuals with a higher incidence of SIBO or who experience more bloating and distention seem to produce more hydrogen and methane which can lead to abdominal pain and constipation[30]. Addition of tannins in patients who have bloating, distention and constipation may help to manage these symptoms and improve quality of life for these individuals similar to the use of these agents in ruminants.

Tannins like those purified from the Quebracho tree have over 50 years of safe use in wine[31]. Tannins serve as antiradical sinks and antioxidants. The latter activity may be especially important for hydrogen binding near neutral pH found in the upper intestine. Tannins also nonspecifically bind dietary fiber which may make it less susceptible to fermentation[32]. These highly branched polyphenol molecules have been additionally found to disrupt bacterial lipid bilayers acting as bacteriostatic agents[33]. Conker Tree extract which contains the antimicrobial escins[34], also known as saponins, can also reduce the production as well as emission of methane[35-37]. Escins have further been found to increase GI motility and transit through the ileus in mice[38] and improve time to recovery of passage of gas, GI sounds and bowel movements in postoperative colorectal surgery patients[39]. Though patients were not assessed for abdominal discomfort in this study, the M. balsamea Willd extract which contains peppermint oil would be expected to help manage this symptom that typically accompanies bloating and constipation in IBS patients[22,40]. Peppermint oil has also been shown to act as an antispasmodic attenuating contractile responses to acetylcholine, histamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine, and substance P[41]. Further experimentation is needed to determine the additive or synergistic effect of tannins, saponins, and peppermint oil on bacterial populations in the small intestine, stimulation of motility and effects on abdominal pain.

It is possible that the blended extract could also be utilized in patients with IBS-D who have also been diagnosed by breath test with SIBO. Sachdeva et al[41] found that there was a statistical link between patients with IBS-D, female gender, and bloating that was also a predictor of SIBO in effected populations. Therefore, it is possible that the tannins in the blended extract could act as a sink for hydrogen ions generated by invasive bacteria in the small bowel of IBS-D patients. There is also data to support the use of peppermint oil for abdominal pain in this population[22]. It is unknown what effect, however, saponins Conker Tree Chestnut would have on diarrheal symptoms. This would have to be tested clinically in IBS-D patients with SIBO.

The results demonstrated in this small placebo-controlled study showed a statistically significant reduction of abdominal bloating and constipation (Figure 1) in two weeks for a group administered the blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree, and M. balsamea Willd compared to the placebo group which continued to experience these symptoms unabated. Limitations of this study are the small
sample size and that there were more female participants vs men (4:1) than normally diagnosed with IBS-C (2:1)\(^3\). Since this was a single-site, healthcare provider practice which recruited the participants, the number of women vs men reflected the practice composition. It is unknown whether this selection biased the results in favor of the blended extract over the placebo. Even if women respond more to the product compared to men, this would an interesting and exciting finding. This blended extract contains safe, food ingredients which also produced no reported side effects, something needed in care of IBS-C patients. Based on these results, a blended extract of Quebracho, Conker Tree, and \textit{M. balsamea Willd} shows promise for use in IBS-C and should be considered as a reasonable approach in these difficult to treat patients. Larger studies, which meet Rome suggested criteria for study design and have the proper ratio of women to men in a multicenter format are needed to assess the efficacy of this product.
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