INTRODUCTION

Despite achilles tendon ruptures represents one of the most common tendon disorders, there is still a great debate among authors regarding the best surgical procedure to perform, especially in young and active patients [1-5].

Many surgical techniques have been described in literature [6-10]. In addition to primary repair, augmentation with aponeurosis flaps, V-Y plasty [11], tendon transfers [12-13], allograft [14] or synthetic grafts [15-19] have been developed to facilitate end-to-end anastomosis and to improve positive long-term follow-up results in patients with re-rupture or with chronic ruptures of the tendon. However, these techniques require a more extensive skin incision in order to adequately debride necrotic areas around the tendon stumps and to insert the selected augmentation graft. This may cause higher rates of post-operative complications than with anatomical treatments [10,20].

In this paper we report about a 53-year old man who has been operated on for a revision of an Achilles tendon rupture with Ligastic® synthetic graft in which an infection developed over the graft twenty years after.
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We report the case of a 53-year old man with a history of achilles tendon suture with the use of Ligastic® synthetic graft in 1991. Twenty years after, the patients, with no history of recent trauma or other concomitant local or systemic diseases, showed up to our Orthopaedic Department because of the onset of high fever, wound dehiscence at the site of the previous surgery along with spontaneous purulent discharge. Results of bacterial cultures showed the presence of Staphylococcus Aureus; after a few days of antibiotic treatment, the patient underwent a new surgical treatment in order to adequately counteract and remove the cause of infection. Surgical treatment showed a massive infection surrounding the reconstructed achilles tendon coming from the synthetic graft positioned inside the tendon itself. Removal of this augmentation led to an immediate improvement of systemic and local conditions with satisfactory recovery of function of the ankle.

© 2014 ACT. All rights reserved.

Key words: Tendons; Surgery; Infection
the site of the previous surgery, with spontaneous purulent discharge (Figure 1). Range of motion (ROM) of the ankle was restricted and lack of strength in both plantar or dorsal flexion was also detected. A bilateral significant calf atrophy was also described. Thompson test was negative. Routine blood tests including complete blood count and chemistry panel, along with erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen were performed. Before empiric antimicrobial therapy was started, samples from wound discharge were aseptically collected using a sterile swab and studied for identification of isolates by Gram stain and bacterial culture followed by *in vitro* antibiotic susceptibility testing.

**Figure 1** Multiple skin dehiscences at the level of the previous achilles tendon reconstruction.

Patient was then admitted to our Orthopedic Department and antibiotic treatment with teicoplanin (Targosid™) 400 mg every 12 hours, with a loading dose of 800 mg the first day, was started. After drug was administered, patient experienced an allergic reaction, successfully treated with a single 40 mg intravenous bolus injection of Methylprednisolone (Urbason). Laboratory test results showed an elevated WBC count (12,400 cells per cubic millimeter) with an absolute increase in neutrophils (83.7%; normal range 45-74%), an elevated ESR (86 mm/h; normal range 0-20 mm/h), and had an elevated CRP value (6.5 mg/dL; normal range 0-0.5 mg/dL). Results for bacterial cultures became available in two days and showed growth of Staphylococcus Aureus.

Antibiotic therapy was then changed and Tigecycline (TYGACIL®, initial dose of 100 mg, followed by 50 mg every 12 hour) was chosen on the basis of antimicrobial susceptibility tests results.

Routine ankle MR imaging was performed in the axial, coronal, and sagittal planes. Coronal T1-weighted MRI image showed thickening of the Achilles tendon, with internal edema-fluid signal intensity. T2-weighted fat-saturated fast spin-echo (FSE) images revealed a pattern suggestive of abscess, with focally increased signal intensity in the central portion of achilles tendon along with fluid signal filling the tendon sheath and soft tissue edema extending to subcutaneous tissue and skin.

Our consultant plastic surgeon prescribed daily wound dressing changes and irrigation of the area with dilute saline solutions of 35% hydrogen peroxide.

Eleven days after admission, the patient underwent revision of the previous tenorrhaphy. When the paratenon was incised, it revealed a liquid and copious corpusculated exudates, mixed with residual portion of the synthetic tendon graft used. Achilles tendon was hypertrophic but intact. The Ligastic® graft was removed along with all of the surrounding infected tissue, and extensive debridement was performed (Figure 2) and a specimen was collected for the histological examination (Figure 3). After surgery, a short leg cast was applied and progressive weight bearing was allowed 10 days after surgery. No post-operative complications were registered. Histological exam of the tissue harvested during the operation showed inflammatory infiltrate in a context of connective fibrous tissue, with multiple foci of granulation tissue, foreign body giant cell reaction and fibrin. Three days after surgery the patient ad no more fever and he was discharged. At the 12-month follow-up, patient reported full return to daily and work activities with no restrictions or residual pain. Physical examination showed a complete recovery of range of motion of the ankle with no local signs of infection or tissue adhesions. Planter flexion strength was satisfactory in a side-to-side evaluation (S/S). The AOFAS ankle–hindfoot score was 98 and the patient was fully satisfied with the treatment.

**Figure 2** Harvest of the synthetic graft used to reinforce the tendon reconstruction.

**Figure 3** Histological exam of the tissue harvested during the operation showed inflammatory infiltrate in a context of connective fibrous tissue.
CONCLUSION

Many surgical techniques have been described in literature for achilles tendon repair, even tough there is no agreement yet among authors regard the best type of operative technique to perform. In their systematic review, Kahn et al. showed how operative treatment of acutely ruptured tendons was useful in reducing rerupture rate compared to non-operative treatment and how percutaneous repair was associated with significant lower percentage of complications. In patients with chronic ruptures, re-ruptures, or extensive degenerative changes in tendon tissue, treatment can be significantly more challenging; in these cases, repair with sutures is usually combined with several different tendon augmentation procedures such as tendon transfers, flaps, advancements, free flaps, allografts or the use of synthetic materials, in order to bridge the tendon gap and lower the rate of failure. Although their precise biological mechanisms have not yet been established, various synthetic biomaterials have already been used for tendon augmentation. It has been speculated that these materials would act as scaffolds on which fibrous tissue could be induced to proliferate and form ligament-like tissues. The postulated advantages of this approach would be to avoid complications with donor site morbidity and to increase load to failure of repaired tendons immediately after surgery, thus allowing more aggressive early rehabilitation.

Disadvantages of these techniques are the need of longer skin incisions, increased risk of wound healing delay and increased risk of deep infection.

As far as we know, in this paper we describe the first case of a patient operated on for achilles tendon rupture with synthetic augmentation who experienced a massive local infection twenty years after the operation. It is a matter of fact that synthetic grafts represent a foreign body for the immune system which expose the patient to local infection even after many years. Certainly, the graft creates a fertile metabolic environment in which bacteria could grow and somehow evade immune system detection, thus avoiding evoking an immune response for a very long time. However, since the sudden onset of infection symptoms could not be related to specific events, such as a recent surgical intervention, infections, ankle trauma or immunodeficiency, we still do not know why the infection developed abruptly twenty years after the implant of this graft.

In conclusion, although some authors have reported satisfactory functional results using synthetic grafts to reinforce achilles tendon repair, the long-term effectiveness and safety of this treatment is still an arguable topic. Further research is needed to understand what type of fibers cover these scaffolds and how these grafts interact with the surrounding tissues and with the host immune system. Local and massive episodes of infection may always occur even many years after the surgery and this should be kept in mind of surgeons using such types of augmentation.
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