# **International Journal of Orthopaedics**

Online Submissions: http://www.ghrnet.org/index.php/ijo doi:10.17554/j.issn.2311-5106.2016.03.169

Int. J. of Orth. 2016 August 23; 3(4): 621-626 ISSN 2311-5106 (Print), ISSN 2313-1462 (Online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# **Processing Volumes and Therapeutic Cellular Doses of Point of Care Bone Marrow Concentrates**

Luciano Rodríguez, Roberto Seijas, Margarita Codinach, Silvia Torrents, Xavier Cuscó, Joan García, Ramón Cugat

Luciano Rodríguez, PhD, Advanced Cell Therapies Division, Blood and Tissue Bank, Passeig Taulat 106-116, 08005, Barcelona,

Roberto Seijas.MD, PhD, Orthopaedic Surgery Department, García Cugat Foundation for Biomedical Research, Hospital Quiron, Plaza Alfons Comín 5-7, 08023, Barcelona, Spain

Margarita Codinach, BS, Advanced Cell Therapies Division, Blood and Tissue Bank, Passeig Taulat, 106-116, 08005, Barcelona,

Silvia Torrents, BS, Advanced Cell Therapies Division, Blood and Tissue Bank, Passeig Taulat, 106-116, 08005, Barcelona, Spain

Xavier Cuscó, MD, PhD, Orthopaedic Surgery Department, García Cugat Foundation for Biomedical Research, Hospital Quiron, Plaza Alfons Comín 5-7, 08023, Barcelona, Spain

Joan García, MD, PhD, Advanced Cell Therapies Division, Blood and Tissue Bank, Passeig Taulat, 106-116, 08005, Barcelona, Spain Ramón Cugat, MD, PhD, Orthopaedic Surgery Department, García Cugat Foundation for Biomedical Research, Hospital Quiron, Plaza Alfons Comín 5-7, 08023, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence to: Luciano Rodríguez, PhD, Advanced Cell Therapies Division, Blood and Tissue Bank, Passeig Taulat 106-116, 08005, Barcelona, Spain.

Email: lrodriguez@bst.cat Telephone: +34-935573500 Fax: +34-935573501 Received: March 23, 2016 Revised: July 1, 2016

Published online: August 23, 2016

Accepted: July 4, 2016

# **ABSTRACT**

AIMS: Bone Marrow Concentrates (BMC) applied to treat several osteo-articular pathologies had reported positive clinical outcomes at short- to medium-term follow up. However, the diversity of indications reported and the lack of consensus describing the

formulation of BMC make it difficult to circumscribe basic processing variables to generate them. We analyzed the influence of processing different Bone Marrow (BM) volumes over the formulation and deliverable cell dose of BMC

METHODS: Main cellular populations were characterized in BMC manufactured and applied for autologous use during the same surgical procedure. To do this, Flow Cytometry and Fibroblastic Colony Forming Units (CFU-Fs) assays were used.

**RESULTS**: Cell concentration of aspirates was not statistically influenced in the range of volumes analyzed. Consequently the quality of BM seems to be conserved in the range of volumes assayed. By using the protocol described, the quality of BMC traditionally defined as CFU-F per mL did not differ in the range of volumes assayed whereas total dose of CFU-F and other differentiated cells effectively changed.

CONCLUSIONS: The volume of BM defines cellular doses arriving to the patient with statistically significant differences. Parameters currently used to describe the quality of BMC as CFU-F/mL appear to be directly influenced by working volumes and thus total cellular doses applied might better characterize these products. Finally, since it is uncertain what cells within BMC form part of its active substance, the quantification of main cell subsets could be helpful to better understand where, when and how these medicinal products

Key words: Bone Marrow Concentrate; Cellular dose; Mesenchymal stromal cells; Point of care Processing

© 2016 The Authors. Published by ACT Publishing Group Ltd.

Rodríguez L, Seijas R, Codinach M, Torrents S, Cuscó X, García J, Cugat R. Processing Volumes and Therapeutic Cellular Doses of Point of Care Bone Marrow Concentrates. International Journal of Orthopaedics 2016; 3(4): 621-626 Available from: URL: http://www. ghrnet.org/index.php/ijo/article/view/1651

## INTRODUCTION

Intraoperatory delivery of bone marrow concentrates (BMC) for other functions than hematological reconstitution has been harnessed as an individualized therapy produced and applied during the same surgical procedure to treat diverse pathologies. BMC utilized in such a way have not been specifically regulated in Europe so far<sup>[1-2]</sup> although they perfectly meet the criteria to be considered as medicinal products.

In this regard, inversely to the developmental pathway of traditional drugs where high quality clinical studies are performed before its accessibility, BMC have fast moved from basic research to clinical practice even at the expense of not truly understanding intimate mechanisms associated to this therapy. On the contrary, based on case reports and short clinical trials, BMC-derived therapies have spread increasingly in the traumatology arena supported basically by observational results reporting safe and successful functional outcomes<sup>[3-6]</sup>. As a consequence, substantial concepts such as the definition of BMC's active substance, its mode of action and the dose to be applied for a particular diagnostic remain questions yet to be answered.

One of the most accepted theories concerning BMC mode of action is related to the definition of its active substance. In this regard Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSC) has been suggested to play a major role in BMC's reported therapeutic effects. Thus, it has been proposed that once BMC is applied, damaged tissue is restored by direct repairing of its structures by cell replacement<sup>[7-9,5,10-11]</sup>. However, recent experimental results point towards paracrine effects as the underlying mechanism of action behind MSC<sup>[12-13]</sup>. More importantly, other differentiated cells administered along with MSC in BMC with known physiological paracrine activities might also be involved in the mode of action of this cytotherapy<sup>[14-15]</sup>. This hypothesis really shifts the initial and somehow dogmatic belief about MSC as the unique active substance in BMC opening the possibility to include other cells as therapeutic drivers.

BM concentration is possibly the simplest strategy to obtain deliverable MSC although the fact that centrifugation procedure results in the concentration of other types of cells and platelets which are simultaneously applied into the patient is usually underestimated. The resulting cocktail of living cells is usually seen as simple blood but is actually a natural combination of cells from different lineages generating growth factor able to drive and module physiological regeneration processes. Therefore, many of the components within BMC have the potential to play a role by direct action stimulating endogenous resident cells or even as ancillary cells supporting the MSC's mode of action<sup>[14,16-17]</sup>.

Here our main objective was to analyze how processing different BM volumes influenced the deliverable cellular dose and composition of BMC. To better define these medicinal products applied to the patients, main cellular populations were included in the description of BMC's formulation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Bone Marrow Aspiration**

Bone marrow (BM) aspirations were performed under local anesthesia from the posterior iliac crest by placing the patient in prone position. Standard aseptic procedures were followed in the operating room after obtaining informed consent. Briefly, after anesthetizing the puncture site down to the periosteum with a 3 mL analgesic shot a small incision was performed in the epidermis to facilitate direct access to the bone. There after a bone perpendicular insertion in the

spongy bone with a beveled needle (BMB surelock. TSK Laboratory) was followed by a 3 - 4 mL rapid aspiration with a 10 cc syringe. The needle was then reoriented by a 90 degree rotation to a different depth or to a new and separate site of aspiration in order to minimize BM dilution with peripheral blood and another 3-4 mL aspiration was performed. BM was harvested from multiple sites until syringe was full with typically 2-3 aspirations. Syringes were changed at each set of aspirations and fully flushed with anticoagulant medium before use. Both crests were used for aspiration, two surgeons performed BM harvest simultaneously and the total volume obtained was pooled in a blood transfusion bag with anticoagulant solution containing Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS.Invitrogen) and 50 IU of sodium heparin per mL (Chiesi). At the end of the procedure light pressure was applied to minimize bleeding followed by skin sutures and occlusive dressing.

#### **Bone Marrow Concentration Process**

Bone marrow concentrates (BMC) were obtained by centrifugation at 500 g during 10 minutes at room temperature. Apart from the centrifugation step, the entire process was done in a laminar flow BioII/A hood (Cellgard480.Nuaire) placed at the point of care. Briefly, BM aspirates were transferred to 15 mL sterile plastic tubes and a 4 to 10 mL sample was drawn for initial cell count and microbiological monitoring. After tubes were centrifuged, the supernatant and most part of the plasma fractions were separated, the buffy coat was recovered along with the immediate layer of red blood cells and placed in a separate sterile tube. Finally, BMC were dispensed in sterile syringes and a final sample of 1 to 5 mL was obtained from each product to proceed to microbiological test and cellular characterization.

BM volumes previously described to give rise to therapeutic doses of MSC range from 55 to 500 mL. Since large BM volumes are relatively complex to manage in the setting of an operating room and are usually processed by semiautomatic devices not available to all surgery teams, we evaluated the impact of three BM volumes (60 mL; 90 mL and 120 mL) easily manageable in a standard bench top centrifuge.

BMC were prepared as indicated and injected during the curse of 109 surgeries. A great proportion of BMC (71%) were dedicated to treat two or three application sites during the same surgery including hips, knee joints ankles and wrists. Hips received BMC volumes ranging from 16 to 20 mL, knee joints received 7 to 8 mL and ankles and wrists had 4 to 8 mL of BMC.

All patients were fully informed with respect to the clinical protocol, cell processing details and associated risks. All patients signed informed consent form previously approved by the Ethics Committee at Hospital Quiron (Barcelona).

#### **Cellular Characterization**

Nucleated cell concentration and viability were determined by flow cytometry in a FacScalibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson). A Single platform, lyse and no wash CD45/CD34/7AAD ISHAGE protocol was applied<sup>[18-19]</sup>. Briefly, 25 uL of cells were stained in a tube with 10 uL of each FITC- CD45 and PE- CD34 conjugated antibodies (BD Biosciences) and incubated during 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Afterwards 1 mL of red blood lysis buffer and 10 uL of 7AAD were added and incubated for 10 additional minutes. Finally, 25 uL of control count fluorospheres were added to the tube and samples were gently mixed and analyzed by flow cytometry (FACScalibur.BD Biosciences). Mononucleated (MNC) and Polymorphonucleated cells (PMNC) were defined by forward and

side light scatter characteristics. Platelets were measured by using an automated hematology analyzer (ACTDiff.BeckmanCoulter).

#### **CFU-FAssay**

Quantification of Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) was performed by means of Fibroblastic Colony Forming units (CFU-F) assay in all cases as previously reported<sup>[24]</sup>. Briefly, CFU-F were determined by platting  $5 \times 10^4$  living total nucleated cells (TNC) per cm<sup>2</sup> in 6 well dishes per triplicate. Cell cultures were spanned for 7-10 days using basic culture medium (DMEM. Gibco) supplemented with human serum previously validated for clinical expansion of MSC. Cultures were washed three days after initial seeding and after the time of culture hematoxilin stained colonies were counted under optic microscope (DM IL LED.Leica Microsystems). Colonies containing more than 20 cells were counted and CFU-F frequency was defined per  $1 \times 10^6$  TNC. CFU-F frequency was then used in combination with absolute numbers of TNC to calculate total dose of MSC applied along with each bone marrow concentrate (BMC). CFU-F/mL values were calculated by dividing calculated total dose of CFU-F per volume of recovered BMC after centrifugation.

#### **Statiscal Analysis**

Cellular dose and concentration values from non related samples were compared using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (IBM SPSS statistics software version 12.0). Data was considered significantly different when p < 0.05.

## **RESULTS**

Cellular concentration, viability and total cell dose administered to patients in BMC for autologous use were quantified during the course of 109 surgeries (43 females; 66 males; mean age  $48.4 \pm 14.5$  years). The BMC formulation data were analyzed according to the volume of processed BM and three groups of study were created; 60 mL, 90 mL and 120 mL. For these groups, BM blood from a total of 25, 42 and 42 patients with median ages of 46 (15-75), 49 (18-73) and 51 (19-73) years were included respectively. No adverse events related to the harvest, processing or re-infusion of cellular products was reported and microbiologic quality controls were negative for aspirates and concentrated product samples.

Volumes of BM initially obtained for the groups of 60, 90 and 120 mL were  $77 \pm 9$ ,  $103 \pm 5$  and  $139 \pm 14$  mL respectively. For the same groups recovered buffy coat volumes were  $11.4 \pm 4$  mL,  $16.4 \pm 4.7$  mL and  $21.5 \pm 5.4$  mL respectively. Injectable BMC volumes varied from 4 to 20 mL in order to adjust them to the available space in application sites including knees, ankles, wrists and hips. Hips received BMC volumes ranging from 16 to 20 mL, knee joints received 7 to 8 mL and ankles and wrists had 4 to 8 mL of BMC. Concentration of total nucleated cells (TNC) and cell viability in BM aspirates were not statistically different among groups. Calculated mean values for cell concentration were  $18.4 \pm 6.5 \times 10^6$ ;  $23.4 \pm 10 \times 10^6$  and  $21.7 \pm 8 \times 10^6$  TNC/mL for 60, 90 and 120 mL groups respectively with viabilities higher than 90% in all cases.

Concentration of CD34<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells did not differ statistically between groups and ranged from  $0.16 \times 10^6$  to  $0.19 \times 10^6$  cells/mL. BM aspirates had platelet counts lower than those reported for peripheral blood ranging from 33 x106 to 160 x106 per mL.

The process of centrifugation increased  $4.7 \pm 1.7$  times the TNC concentration and it was not influenced by the BM volume processed. Composition of BM aspirates and BMC were approximately 80% of PMNC, 19% of MNC and 1% of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells in all groups (Table 1).

Cell concentration in BMC were  $73.7 \pm 23.9 \times 10^6$  TNC/mL; 106.7 $\pm 48.5 \times 10^6$  TNC/mL and  $105.6 \pm 47.2 \times 10^6$  TNC/mL for the groups of study and these differences were statistically significant between the 60 mL group and the other 2 groups which did not statistically differ from each other. Cell recoveries after centrifugation were 73-81 % for TNC, 82-92% for MNC and 79-90% for CD34<sup>+</sup> cells and it did not improve when recovered buffy coat volumes were higher than a 10% of the initially centrifuged BM volume. After centrifugation we obtained  $0.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^9$ ,  $1.7 \pm 0.8 \times 10^9$  and  $2.2 \pm 0.9 \times 10^9$ deliverable TNC for the groups of 60, 90 and 120 mL respectively. For these groups, concentration of CD34<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells in BMC were  $0.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^6$ /mL,  $0.95 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6$  /mL and  $0.9 \pm 0.4 \times 10^6$ / mL and total dose of these cells was found to be significantly less for the 60 mL group compared to the other ones which did not differ from one another (Table 1). BMC also contained platelets at a median concentration of  $200 \times 10^6$ /mL ranging from  $78 \times 10^6$  to  $734 \times 10^6$ platelets/mL.

Prevalence of MSC indirectly measured as CFU-F colonies per 106 TNC slightly diminished with higher BM aspirate volumes and were  $46.3 \pm 33.6$ ,  $35.4 \pm 22.5$  and  $31 \pm 28$  CFU-F/106 TNC for groups of 60 mL, 90 mL and 120 mL. Fibroblastic colony count displayed a wide variability and CFU-F concentration in BMC ranged from 360 CFU/mL to 13520 CFU/mL. CFU-F per mL was not statistically different between groups of study and mean values varied from 2600 to 3600 CFU/mL. However, total dose of MSC was significantly higher when processing 90mL to 120 mL 104 CFU-Fs respectively) than when processing 104 mL of BM 104 CFU-Fs). In this sense, having a reference value of 104 CFU-Fs). In this sense, having a reference value of 104 CFU-Fs respectively of the processed 104 CFU-Fs and 104 CFU-Fs). In this sense, having a reference value of 104 CFU-Fs and 104 CFU-Fs of the 90-120 mL products reached this threshold while 104 of the 90-120 mL products had at least this number of connective progenitor cells.

# **DISCUSSION**

The opportunity of using living cells contained in BMC as therapeutic tools is a very attractive approach due to the methodological simplicity and its compatibility with current surgical procedures. However basic practical concepts related to BMC management such as cellular composition, its relationship with the clinical results observed, the frequency of administration or the optimal cellular dose to be applied for a particular diagnostic are not well defined yet.

Therapeutic capabilities of BMC have been traditionally related to the concentration of MSC defined as total stromal progenitor counts per volume unit (CFU-F/mL). We observed a high variability among patients regarding this parameter although a mean concentration of

| Table 1 Formulation and cellular doses in BMC. |                         |                                       |                                |                         |                          |                           |           |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Processed BM†                                  | BONE MARROW CONCENTRATE |                                       |                                |                         |                          |                           |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (mL)                                    | Volume (mL)             | $TNC^{\dagger\dagger} \times 10^6/mL$ | $PMNC^{\dagger} \times 10^{6}$ | $MNC^{8} \times 10^{6}$ | $CD34^{+} \times 10^{6}$ | CFU-F/10 <sup>6</sup> TNC | p value   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 mL (n=25)                                   | 11 ± 4                  | 73.7 ± 23.9                           | 626 ± 248                      | 167 ± 64                | $7.9 \pm 4.4$            | 46.3 ± 33.6               |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 mL (n=42)                                   | 16 ± 5                  | $106.7 \pm 48.5$                      | $1364 \pm 725$                 | 301 ± 117               | 15 ± 9                   | $35.4 \pm 22.5$           | 0.024*    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 mL (n=42)                                  | 21 ± 5                  | $105.6 \pm 47.2$                      | 1778 ± 830                     | $396 \pm 143$           | $18 \pm 7$               | 31 ± 28                   | ≥ 0.604** |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean± SD values are shown. †Bone Marrow; ‡ Polymorphonucleated Cells; § Mononucleated Cells; CFU-F: Fibroblastic Colony Forming Units. ††TNC: Total Nucleated Cells. \*60 mL group vs 90 mL. \*\*90 mL group vs 120 mLgroup.

3000 CFU-F/mL was maintained irrespective of the BM volume analyzed. Previously reported clinically effective doses of MSC range from 1500 to 9000 CFU-F/mL depending on the diagnostic and the method of BMC delivery<sup>[5,11,20,10,8,21]</sup>. This wide range seems to be related to the huge interpersonal variability of MSC endowment<sup>[22]</sup> and the different protocols utilized for quantification, but it also might be associated to the different processed volumes of BM and BMC (Table 2). Similarly, another parameter associated to BMC's healing potential is the number of CFU-F per total nucleated cells which ranges from 25 to 39 CFU-F /106 TNC<sup>[10,5,11,21,23]</sup>. We observed a statistically significant reduction of this value when comparing 60 mL to 120 mL aspirated BM possibly due to peripheral blood dilution despite it being inversely proportional to the total dose of MSC obtained for the same groups. Consequently, CFU-F/mL and CFU-F/TNC values seem to be directly related to processing variables and perhaps should not be assumed as a benchmark of potency in BMC mainly when comparing products obtained by using different processing protocols.

Beyond concentration or frequency of MSC, total dose of these cells might better define BMC. In this sense, available doses of MSC in BMC have been described to span from  $14\times10^3$  to  $3\times10^5$  in the literature [5,20,8,21,11,10] (Table 2). We obtained an average total MSC dose ranging from  $35\times10^3$  to  $59\times10^3$  CFU-F's with injectable volumes from 6 mL to 20 mL by processing progressive amounts of BM and recovering proportionally higher volumes of buffy coat. This protocol made it possible to adjust BMC volumes to desirable final values in order to fit defined anatomic spaces while maintaining MSC doses with previously reported therapeutic effects.

On the other hand, it is really surprising that while an overwhelming part of the cells within BMC belong to hemopoetic lineages and MSC represent approximately only a 0.003 % of TNC<sup>[24]</sup>, those blood cells are usually underestimated in terms of its medicinal potential. In this regard neutrophils, which are BMC main cellular component representing more than 70% of living cells, could also be directly involved in BMC's observed therapeutic effects. Neutrophils represent the first line of innate immunity and its activation and clearance are tightly regulated by physiological processes due to their potentially harmful capacity. Apoptosis is the main mechanism involved in the death of neutrophils and it is an essential process contributing to the resolution of inflammation because apoptotic neutrophils are recognized and phagocyted by tissue resident macrophages producing a switch towards a non-inflammatory and pro regenerative profile (M2-like macrophages)<sup>[17]</sup>. At the same time, pro regenerative macrophages have been described to interact with MSC promoting their survival, proliferation and tissue protection capacities<sup>[16]</sup>. Moreover, it has been shown that locally applied MSC can also turn macrophages to a regulatory phenotype<sup>[25]</sup> suggesting a favorable relationship between MSC, neutrophils and endogenous resident cells for tissue restorative purposes.

The process of neutrophils clearance (efferocytosis) is followed by the release of regenerative mediators as well as by the activation of T-regulatory lymphocytes which synergistically increase anti-inflammatory signals<sup>[26-27]</sup>. During this process level of cytokines related to natural tissue homeostasis such as TGF- $\beta$  and IL-10 are found to be especially elevated thus naturally facilitating tissue return to functionality after an inflammatory event<sup>[28-29]</sup>. We administered an average quantity of  $6 \times 10^8$  total PMNC (mainly neutrophils) along with a few thousand MSC within BMC with no pain or evident inflammatory process reported, suggesting that a harmful effect driven by local accumulation of neutrophils is remote.

In addition to neutrophils, cells from the mononuclear phagocyte system applied within BMC (mainly monocytes and macrophages) have also been reported to possess remarkable functions in tissue repair and regeneration depending on the environmental stimuli they receive<sup>[30]</sup>. Monocytes are naturally recruited at tissue repair sites two to three days after injury and rapidly differentiate to phagocytes which, similarly to the above mentioned endogenous pro-regenerative macrophages, might help to dampen inflammation and stimulate connective tissue synthesis<sup>[31]</sup>. Furthermore, mononuclear cells (mainly monocytes and leukocytes) have also been described to facilitate MSC chondrogenic differentiation as well as being able to give rise, in hypoxic conditions as those found in sites of inflammation, to a heterogeneous cell population expressing MSC-like phenotype with potential participation in the observed clinical results after BMC administration<sup>[32]</sup>.

Apart from the mentioned role of those differentiated cells in BMC, CD34<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells injected along with BMC could also influence the reported BMC therapeutic effects. It is known that BM CD34<sup>+</sup> cells contain endothelial, hemopoetic and osteoblastic progenitors<sup>[33]</sup> with described regenerative capabilities mediated by direct differentiation and via paracrine signals<sup>[34]</sup>. Interestingly, isolated MSC from BM CD34<sup>+</sup> cells retained unaltered differentiation capabilities<sup>[35-36]</sup> as those described for cultured expanded MSC<sup>[37]</sup>. Moreover, as described in a recent pilot clinical trial, purified and locally transplanted CD34<sup>+</sup> cells promote tissue regeneration and total bone healing<sup>[38]</sup>. We found that BMC contained total doses of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells from 7 to 18 × 10<sup>6</sup> depending on the BM volume initially processed which, in light of their reported paracrine positive effects could also synergistically participate on the BMC clinical outcomes.

Taken together the results presented here show that processing 60 mL of BM results in statistically significant lower total cellular doses in BMC than those found when processing 90 to 120 mL. On the contrary, increasing BM processed from 90 to 120 mL did not statistically change the total cellular doses in BMC. This observation might by relevant because as recently reported in a dose-response analysis<sup>[39]</sup>, total nucleated cell dose might be an important factor governing clinical outcomes after BMC treatment. Finally, in addition

| Table 2 Reported therapeutic Cellular doses in BMC. |                         |                         |                           |                          |                               |                                    |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indication  | BMA <sup>†</sup> Volume | BMC <sup>‡</sup> Volume | $BMC \times 10^6 NC / mL$ | $TNC^{\$} \times 10^{6}$ | CFU-F <sup>1</sup> /mL        | MSC†† Dose                         | Ref. |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                         | 50 mL total             |                           |                          |                               |                                    | [5]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone nonunion                                       | 300 mL                  | 20 mL injected          | n.r.‡‡                    | n.r.                     | 2576                          | Success when $\geq 55 \times 10^3$ | [10] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone nonunion                                       | 500 mL                  | 50 mL                   | 146                       | 7300                     | 6253                          | $312 \times 10^{3}$                | [21] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone defect   | 60 mL                   | 8 mL                    | 113                       | 904                      | 4600                          | $37 \times 10^{3}$                 | [20] |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                         | 50 mL total             |                           |                          |                               |                                    | [7]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Osteonecrosis                                       | 300 mL                  | 30 mL injected          | 29                        | 870                      | 4900                          | $147 \times 10^{3}$                | [6]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Osteonecrosis                                       | 400 mL                  | 49.7 mL                 | 38.2                      | 1898                     | 3579                          | $137 \times 10^{3}$                | [40] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Osteonecrosis                                       | 400 mL                  | 50 mL                   | 40                        | 2000                     | 3680                          | $184 \times 10^{3}$                |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cartilage lesion                                    | 60 mL                   | 6-8 mL                  | n.r.                      | n.r.                     | 3904 (2000-5700)              | $23-31 \times 10^3$                | [9]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotor cuff  | 150 mL                  | 12 mL injected          | n.r.                      | n.r.                     | 4300                          | Success when $\geq 54 \times 10^3$ | [11] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Back disc pain                                      | 60 mL                   | 6 mL                    | 121                       | 726                      | 2713 Success when $\geq 2000$ | Success when $\geq 14 \times 10^3$ |      |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>†</sup> Bone Marrow Aspirate. ‡ Bone Marrow Concentrate. § Total Nucleated Cells. †† Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. ‡‡not reported.

to MSC, which are a scarce resource, a heterogeneous mixture of cells with known relevant roles in natural regenerative processes is applied within BMC. This particular combination of cells could be part of BMC active substance and consequently its quantification might contribute to better define therapeutic cellular doses for these medicinal products.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors wish to thank Dr. José Joaquín Ceron Madrigal, Dr. Joaquín Vives Armengol and Mr. Thomas Oxlee for manuscript review. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- EU (2004) Directive 2004/23/EC. Official Journal of the European Union
- 2 EMA (2014) Reflection paper on classification of advanced therapy medicinal products. European Medicines Agency.
- Pascual-Garrido C, Rolon A, Makino A. Treatment of chronic patellar tendinopathy with autologous bone marrow stem cells: a 5-yearfollowup. Stem Cells Int 2012: 953510. doi:10.1155/2012/953510
- 4 Gigante A, Cecconi S, Calcagno S, Busilacchi A, Enea D. Arthroscopic knee cartilage repair with covered microfracture and bone marrow concentrate. *Arthrosc Tech* 2012; 1(2):e175-180. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2012.07.001XATS31 [pii]
- 5 Hernigou P, Poignard A, Beaujean F, Rouard H. Percutaneous autologous bone-marrow grafting for nonunions. Influence of the number and concentration of progenitor cells. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005; 87(7): 1430-1437. doi:87/7/1430 [pii]10.2106/JBJS.D.02215
- 6 Gangji V, Hauzeur JP, Matos C, De Maertelaer V, Toungouz M, Lambermont M. Treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head with implantation of autologous bone-marrow cells. A pilot study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004; 86-A(6): 1153-1160
- Gangji V, De Maertelaer V, Hauzeur JP. Autologous bone marrow cell implantation in the treatment of non-traumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head: Five year follow-up of a prospective controlled study. *Bone* 2011; 49(5): 1005-1009. doi:S8756-3282(11)01134-3 [pii]10.1016/j.bone.2011.07.032
- 8 Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Sankineani SR. One-step surgery with multipotent stem cells for the treatment of large full-thickness chondral defects of the knee. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; **42(3)**: 648-657. doi:0363546513518007 [pii]10.1177/0363546513518007
- 9 Hernigou P, Flouzat Lachaniette CH, Delambre J, Zilber S, Duffiet P, Chevallier N, Rouard H. Biologic augmentation of rotator cuff repair with mesenchymal stem cells during arthroscopy improves healing and prevents further tears: a case-controlled study. *Int Orthop* 2014; 38(9): 1811-1818. doi:10.1007/s00264-014-2391-1
- 10 Le Nail LR, Stanovici J, Fournier J, Splingard M, Domenech J, Rosset P. Percutaneous grafting with bone marrow autologous concentrate for open tibia fractures: analysis of forty three cases and literature review. *Int Orthop* 2014; 38(9): 1845-1853. doi:10.1007/ s00264-014-2342-x
- Pettine KA, Murphy MB, Suzuki RK, Sand TT. Percutaneous injection of autologous bone marrow concentrate cells significantly reduces lumbar discogenic pain through 12 months. *Stem Cells* 2015; 33(1): 146-156. doi:10.1002/stem.1845
- Otsuru S, Gordon PL, Shimono K, Jethva R, Marino R, Phillips CL, Hofmann TJ, Veronesi E, Dominici M, Iwamoto M, Horwitz EM. Transplanted bone marrow mononuclear cells and MSCs impart clinical benefit to children with osteogenesis imperfecta through different mechanisms. *Blood* 2012; 120(9): 1933-1941. doi:blood-2011-12-400085 [pii]10.1182/blood-2011-12-400085
- 13 Togel F, Hu Z, Weiss K, Isaac J, Lange C, Westenfelder C. Administered mesenchymal stem cells protect against ischemic acute renal failure through differentiation-independent mechanisms.

- *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2005; **289(1)**: F31-42. doi:00007.2005 [pii]10.1152/ajprenal.00007.2005
- 14 Chang F, Ishii T, Yanai T, Mishima H, Akaogi H, Ogawa T, Ochiai N. Repair of large full-thickness articular cartilage defects by transplantation of autologous uncultured bone-marrow-derived mononuclear cells. *J Orthop Res* 2008; 26(1): 18-26. doi:10.1002/jor.20470
- Eggenhofer E, Luk F, Dahlke MH, Hoogduijn MJ. The life and fate of mesenchymal stem cells. Front Immunol 2014; 5: 148. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00148
- Freytes DO, Kang JW, Marcos-Campos I, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Macrophages modulate the viability and growth of human mesenchymal stem cells. *J Cell Biochem* 2013; 114(1): 220-229. doi:10.1002/jcb.24357
- Michlewska S, Dransfield I, Megson IL, Rossi AG. Macrophage phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils is critically regulated by the opposing actions of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory agents: key role for TNF-alpha. *FASEB J* 2009; 23(3): 844-854. doi:fj.08-121228 [pii]10.1096/fj.08-121228
- Sutherland DR, Anderson L, Keeney M, Nayar R, Chin-Yee I. The ISHAGE guidelines for CD34+ cell determination by flow cytometry. International Society of Hematotherapy and Graft Engineering. J Hematother 1996; 5(3): 213-226
- 19 Keeney M, Chin-Yee I, Weir K, Popma J, Nayar R, Sutherland DR. Single platform flow cytometric absolute CD34+ cell counts based on the ISHAGE guidelines. International Society of Hematotherapy and Graft Engineering. *Cytometry* 1998; 34(2): 61-70. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0320(19980415)34:2<61::AID-CYTOI>3.0.CO;2-F [pii]
- 20 Hernigou P, Poignard A, Zilber S, Rouard H. Cell therapy of hip osteonecrosis with autologous bone marrow grafting. *Indian J Orthop* 2009; 43(1): 40-45. doi:10.4103/0019-5413.45322
- 21 Jager M, Herten M, Fochtmann U, Fischer J, Hernigou P, Zilkens C, Hendrich C, Krauspe R. Bridging the gap: bone marrow aspiration concentrate reduces autologous bone grafting in osseous defects. J Orthop Res 2011; 29(2): 173-180. doi:10.1002/jor.21230
- Hernigou P, Homma Y, Flouzat Lachaniette CH, Poignard A, Allain J, Chevallier N, Rouard H. Benefits of small volume and small syringe for bone marrow aspirations of mesenchymal stem cells. *Int Orthop* 2013; 37(11): 2279-2287. doi:10.1007/s00264-013-2017-z
- 23 Muschler GF, Boehm C, Easley K. Aspiration to obtain osteoblast progenitor cells from human bone marrow: the influence of aspiration volume. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997; 79(11): 1699-1709
- Veyrat-Masson R, Boiret-Dupré N, Rapatel C, Descamps S, Guillouard L, Guérin J-J, Pigeon P, Boisgard S, Chassagne J, Berger MG. Mesenchymal content of fresh bone marrow: a proposed quality control method for cell therapy. *British Journal of Haematology* 2007; 139(2): 312-320. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2007.06786.x
- Nemeth K, Leelahavanichkul A, Yuen PS, Mayer B, Parmelee A, Doi K, Robey PG, Leelahavanichkul K, Koller BH, Brown JM, Hu X, Jelinek I, Star RA, Mezey E. Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate sepsis via prostaglandin E(2)-dependent reprogramming of host macrophages to increase their interleukin-10 production. *Nat Med* 2009; 15(1): 42-49. doi:nm.1905 [pii]10.1038/nm.1905
- Asseman C, Mauze S, Leach MW, Coffman RL, Powrie F. An essential role for interleukin 10 in the function of regulatory T cells that inhibit intestinal inflammation. *J Exp Med* 1999; 190(7): 995-1004
- 27 Poe SL, Arora M, Oriss TB, Yarlagadda M, Isse K, Khare A, Levy DE, Lee JS, Mallampalli RK, Chan YR, Ray A, Ray P. STAT1-regulated lung MDSC-like cells produce IL-10 and efferocytose apoptotic neutrophils with relevance in resolution of bacterial pneumonia. Mucosal Immunol 2013; 6(1): 189-199. doi:mi201262 [pii]10.1038/mi.2012.62
- Fadok VA, Bratton DL, Konowal A, Freed PW, Westcott JY, Henson PM. Macrophages that have ingested apoptotic cells in vitro inhibit proinflammatory cytokine production through autocrine/paracrine

## Rodríguez L et al. running title

- mechanisms involving TGF-beta, PGE2, and PAF. J Clin Invest 1998; **101(4)**: 890-898. doi:10.1172/JCI1112
- 29 Huynh ML, Fadok VA, Henson PM. Phosphatidylserine-dependent ingestion of apoptotic cells promotes TGF-betal secretion and the resolution of inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 2002; 109(1): 41-50. doi:10.1172/JCI11638
- 30 Das A, Sinha M, Datta S, Abas M, Chaffee S, Sen CK, Roy S Monocyte and macrophage plasticity in tissue repair and regeneration. Am J Pathol 2015; 185(10): 2596-2606. doi:S0002-9440(15)00320-X [pii]10.1016/j.ajpath.2015.06.001
- 31 Sesia SB, Duhr R, Medeiros da Cunha C, Todorov A, Schaeren S, Padovan E, Spagnoli G, Martin I, Barbero A. Anti-inflammatory/ tissue repair macrophages enhance the cartilage-forming capacity of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. *J Cell Physiol* 2015; 230(6): 1258-1269. doi:10.1002/jcp.24861
- Hopper N, Henson F, Brooks R, Ali E, Rushton N, Wardale J. Peripheral blood derived mononuclear cells enhance osteoarthritic human chondrocyte migration. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2015; 17: 199. doi:10.1186/s13075-015-0709-z10.1186/s13075-015-0709-z [pii]
- 33 Dominici M, Pritchard C, Garlits JE, Hofmann TJ, Persons DA, Horwitz EM. Hematopoietic cells and osteoblasts are derived from a common marrow progenitor after bone marrow transplantation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101(32): 11761-11766. doi:10.1073/ pnas.04046261010404626101 [pii]
- 34 Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, Silver M, van der Zee R, Li T, Witzenbichler B, Schatteman G, Isner JM. Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. *Science* 1997; 275(5302): 964-967
- Kaiser S, Hackanson B, Follo M, Mehlhorn A, Geiger K, Ihorst G, Kapp U. BM cells giving rise to MSC in culture have a heterogeneous CD34 and CD45 phenotype. Cytotherapy 2007; 9(5):

- 439-450. doi:778246531 [pii]10.1080/14653240701358445
- 36 Lin C-S, Ning H, Lin G, Lue TF. Is CD34 truly a negative marker for mesenchymal stromal cells? *Cytotherapy* **14(10)**: 1159-1163. do:10.3109/14653249.2012.729817
- 37 Akiyama K, You YO, Yamaza T, Chen C, Tang L, Jin Y, Chen XD, Gronthos S, Shi S. Characterization of bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells in suspension. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2012; 3(5): 40. doi:scrt131 [pii]10.1186/scrt131
- Kuroda R, Matsumoto T, Niikura T, Kawakami Y, Fukui T, Lee SY, Mifune Y, Kawamata S, Fukushima M, Asahara T, Kawamoto A, Kurosaka M. Local transplantation of granulocyte colony stimulating factor-mobilized CD34+ cells for patients with femoral and tibial nonunion: pilot clinical trial. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2014; 3(1): 128-134. doi:sctm.2013-0106 [pii]10.5966/sctm.2013-0106
- 39 Centeno CJ, Al-Sayegh H, Bashir J, Goodyear S, Freeman MD. A dose response analysis of a specific bone marrow concentrate treatment protocol for knee osteoarthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2015; 16: 258. doi:10.1186/s12891-015-0714-z
- 40 10.1186/s12891-015-0714-z [pii]40. Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Scotti C, Mahajan V, Mazzucco L, Grigolo B. One-Step Cartilage Repair with Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrated Cells and Collagen Matrix in Full-Thickness Knee Cartilage Lesions: Results at 2-Year Follow-up. Cartilage 2011; 2(3): 286-299. doi:10.1177/1947603510392023

Peer reviewers: Aaron W James, University of California, Los Angeles, 10833 Le Conte Ave, A3-251, CHS, Los Angeles, California, USA; Jeong Ik Lee, Associate Professor, Regenerative Medicine Laboratory, Center for Stem Cell Research, Department of Biomedical Science and Technology, Institute of Biomedical Science and Technology (IBST), Konkuk University, 143-701, Seoul, Korea.