Delayed Diagnosis of a GIST, A Case Report from a Resource-limited Setting
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INTRODUCTION

GIST is the most common sub-epithelial tumour of the GI tract. It is usually found in the stomach (60%), and small bowel (30%), but colonic (5%), rectal, oesophageal, and even omental and ovarian locations have been described[1]. The interstitial cell of Cajal in the muscularis propria is the specific cell of origin of this neoplasia. Though GISTs have malignant potentials, only 10-30% are malignant, accounting for 1-3% of all GI cancers[2].

The classical endoscopic appearance of a sub-epithelial GI mass with a normal mucosa should raise an index of suspicion for a GIST. The majority of GISTs are solid tumours with cystic presentations a rare occurrence[4]. GISTs are usually asymptomatic detected incidentally at surgery or by ultrasound or radiological studies. Large GISTs may be symptomatic and commonly present with nausea, abdominal pain, anorexia, and weight loss[5]. Some of the atypical presentations of GISTs reported include extra-gastrointestinal intra-abdominal locations, cystic, multicystic, and mixed tumours, pelvic and ovarian sites, pneumo-peritoneum, and GISTs co-existing with other tumours[6-11].

Melaena stool should raise a high suspicion of GI bleeding and warrant a timely endoscopic request. This case report was a young man who had recurrent melaena and anaemia that necessitated multiple blood transfusions. The diagnosis of GIST was delayed
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for more than one-year duration until the onset of a progressive epigastric swelling.

CASE REPORT

A 35-year-old man presented with a year history of recurrent black stools. There was no history of haematemesis, epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, or weight loss. No change in bowel habits. He was referred to a retainer hospital where he had a blood transfusion, but no endoscopy was done. On review later, an epigastric mass was noted. The mass appeared uniformly echogenic on ultrasound scan and was not attached to the liver, gall bladder, pancreas, or spleen. No retroperitoneal lymphadenopathy was noted. He was subsequently referred to another hospital for a review where CT abdomen was done, and the findings suggested a retroperitoneal tumour. No endoscopy was done at the second hospital. A second opinion was sought at a third hospital where endoscopy was requested but the hospital had no facilities for endoscopy.

Considering the unclear diagnosis and the perceived complexity of any surgical intervention, the patient was referred to a fourth hospital where he had a blood transfusion and oesophagogastroduodenoscopy. At endoscopy, a 3 cm punched-out gastric ulcer with active slow oozing of blood was seen overlying a submucosal mass at 3-4 cm below the gastro-oesophageal (GE) junction and spanning 44 cm to 53 cm from upper incisors (Figure 1).

Haemostasis was secured with endotherapy, but no biopsy was taken because of the risk of bleeding and re-bleeding. The conclusion was that of a queried GIST with a central bleeding ulcer.

A repeat CT scan of the abdomen at this hospital showed a well-circumscribed exophytic tumour arising from the lesser curvature of the stomach with no intraluminal extension. A GIST was the principal differential diagnosis based on both endoscopy and abdominal CT findings.

The patient was prepared and had laparotomy with partial gastrectomy and complete resection of a large tumour measuring 16 cm by 12 cm by 11 cm arising from lesser curvature 4 cm below the GE junction and not adherent to the liver, gall bladder, or portal vessels. He made satisfactory recovery postoperatively.

Histopathology report confirmed a malignant GIST (mitosis rare) with solid cellular fusiform or spindle cells forming dense fascicles arranged in an interlacing fashion. The cells had carrot-shaped coarse hyperchromatic nuclei. Immunohistochemistry findings were consistent with a GIST that was cytoplasmic CD117 positive, focal S100 positive, and gastric muscle SMA negative.

The postoperative management was uneventful. The patient was discharged on Imatinib 400mg daily for one year. A repeat CT and PET scan at six months postoperatively were normal. A repeat endoscopy and abdominal CT were normal more than five years after the treatment.

DISCUSSION

This case report presented a 35-year-old man with severe anemia secondary to a recurrent bleeding gastric ulcer overlying a massive 16 cm gastric GIST located at the lesser curvature of the stomach. Contrary to the typical presentation of GIST at middle age with non-specific GI symptoms such as nausea, anorexia, and weight loss, this case was at a young age with recurrent melaena (without haematemesis) and much latter history of increasing, painless epigastric swelling[8]. Melaena, as the main and only presentation of GIST, is unusual.

Previous studies have described many atypical presentations, chiefly having to do with locations and nature of the tumour. Extra-gastrointestinal sites are commonly omental or mesenteric with an ovarian location reported by only one reviewed study[12,13]. Most GISTs are solid tumours, but cystic ones have also been reported[4,6,7].

The cause of the melaena in GISTs is bleeding vessels from mucosal ulceration caused by pressure necrosis because of the large tumour size[1,9]. In addition to the risk of bleeding, tumour size of GIST is a predictor of symptoms as well as malignant potentials[12,13,14]. Small-sized GISTs are usually asymptomatic and benign, and the larger the tumour, the more likelihood of being symptomatic and malignant[1,13,14]. Interestingly, this large tumour measuring grossly 16 cm x 12 cm x 11 cm at pathological examination did not present with the usual symptoms and had already developed early malignant features (rare mitosis).

The diagnosis of a GIST in the case under review was delayed due to skill gaps and reduced access to endoscopic and other radiological services in developing countries. A timely upper GI endoscopy and accurate interpretation of CT images would have helped in the early diagnosis of this case before a substantial tumour growth and the onset of malignant changes. Prompt tumour resection and commencement of Imatinib would have prevented the development of the anaemia, the need for multiple blood transfusions, and perhaps the malignant progression of the GIST.

This case highlights the need for more training in both radiology and gastroenterology in resource-limited countries. It also demonstrates the beauty of teamwork, collaboration, and the need for prompt referral of cases not adequately managed to higher hospitals and health facilities.

In conclusion, delays in making an endoscopy request, non-availability of endoscopic services, and high cost of imaging are recurrent factors that negatively impact endoscopic access in developing countries. Failure to do an endoscopy (both oesophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy) in unexplained melaena can delay the diagnosis of not only GIST but other GI-related pathologies and malignancies. Therefore, an index of suspicion of a GIST should be high in unexplained GI bleeding. Unexplained GI bleeding should be referred for urgent endoscopy. GISTs should be considered as a differential diagnosis of unexplained GI bleeding. Early diagnosis of GISTs will ensure early treatment and reduce the risk of recurrence and malignant progression.
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